This is an introduction to an ethics complaint scenario that can be filed against the Board Members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry and an introduction to a letter that can be sent to those Dental Board Members at that filing time.
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First I want to acknowledge that I am definitely in uncharted territory here. This is uncharted territory for me and probably uncharted for you also. In the past whenever I have worked with antifluoridation groups or other environmental groups I was never the instigator of any action and my participation was to support them in the action they were taking.

The majority of the time my involvement was usually participating in debates, education, or some type of legal strategy taken against the offender or polluter. I have never instigated action myself nor have I been involved with any action that was directed at a Professional Board.

I am not aware of any anti fluoride group or individual who has ever taken direct ethics action against the members of a State Dental Board so I have no one to consult with for advice.

Even with no experience at taking action against a Dental Board I do have a picture of what I want to see happen; as well as a picture of what might or could happen. However, with all the variables I will be facing I don’t know for sure what the future will hold.

I do anticipate though that the Chemical Corporate America, the United States Public Health Service (USPHS), and the American Dental Association (ADA) will gladly loan their bag-of-dirty-tricks to the Oklahoma Dental Board Members to be used in an attempt to discredit the accurate antifluoride science, others, myself and any ethics complaint filed against the Board Members.

Since I don’t know how dirty their dirty tricks will be, and since they may attempt to gag me and this educational web site, I would ask that each of you download all of this information found here to preserve it. Then make others aware of this and then consider what you are personally willing to do to support the banning of the addition of toxic industrial waste fluoride chemicals to the public’s drinking water sources.
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Preserve this information found here so you can share it with others to use.
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When I first had this idea of filing a professional ethics complaint against the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry Board Members I first contacted the Oklahoma Ethics Commission to learn what would be involved. As I studied that avenue I soon saw it to be a legal maze and ultimately, for them to accept the complaint they would have to “investigate” my complaint. I learned that what they would most likely do to “investigate” would be take my “evidence” and have it evaluated by some dentist…What a joke! I have already been down that path before in
dealing with Health Departments and I am not going there again.

I then considered myself or someone else just filing an ethics complaint against each of the Board Members.

By filing a complaint against the Board Members with the Oklahoma Dental Board I could create a scenario where the dentist would have to sit in a witness chair, under oath, and share his dental school learned knowledge of fluoridated drinking water. That dentist could then be questioned in such a way that their fluoridated drinking water educational deficiency will be documented. Then evidence can be presented and questions asked in such a way that they will also have to learn the truth about this fluoridation fraud. This way the dentists will be forced to get accurately educated about this water fluoridation fraud.

Once educated, the dentist, under oath, and under the threat of perjury, would have to then acknowledge this water fluoridation fraud and call for a ban on water fluoridation…or lose their dental license for other ethical violations that could be filed.

So lets say I go ahead and file these ethical complaints and I get this game all set up…and I am the only one who shows up…

I am not an attorney, I am not an expert witness…I don’t have the wealth to finance this scenario…I can’t do this job alone…I don’t know if there is even a sufficient interest…

What I have decided to do is just put all of this ethical violation stuff out there so all of you who might be interested can study this. Think about this and consider any action you might be willing to take.

I have participated in numerous actions over the years. There was a successful ending to a proposed nuclear plant outside of Tulsa several years ago. There was a severe toxic pollution situation in Ponca City many years ago where the “public” ultimately won. In Southern Oklahoma there was a situation where nuclear waste was being spread on pasture land and was called fertilizer because it had a trace amount of nitrogen in it. There have been many communities where I have been on educational or debate panels during public meetings regarding proposed water fluoridation.

What happened in all of these situations is that people (the “public”) just showed up to get a job done and there was seldom a need to fund expert witnesses, or expert consultation. Attorneys showed up, expert witnesses showed up, CPA’s showed up, deep throats showed up, all of us everyday folks just showed up…and we got a job done. That is what I would like to see here.

There are many top scientists who are aware of this fluoride fraud and I would hope they would love to come and present their science and be heard in a situation where they will not be timed, snubbed, denigrated or ignored.

AND…I have no idea if anything at all will come from what I have written here as it’s going to be up to how all of you incorporate this ethics violation scenario into what ever action you are now personally taking to end this water fluoridation fraud.
As you read the ethics complaint and my letter to the Board Members, realize that this information is not unique to just Oklahoma. This information could be used against ALL dental boards across the United States, as well as in other countries around this planet. You could just pull the names of the Oklahoma Board Members off the heading of the letter and direct all of this to any dental board member anywhere.

In addition to supporting the Oklahoma Dental Board members in honoring their already made ethics promises why don’t each of you also consider filing an ethics complaint against the Dental Board members in your State or country and follow what happens here in Oklahoma and use that knowledge in own your situation…and also report back to me your success or failure as we need to share knowledge, wisdom and strategy.

I strongly urge you use your computer skills and your Facebook or Twitter or Tweets or whatever Squawks, as well as any other contacts you have, to make everyone you can think of aware of this site and of this ethics complaint that can be filed as well as the information contained in the proposed letter to the board members.

To get drinking water fluoridation banned definitely has to be a “we” effort, a group effort, or it won’t get done.

I am quite aware that over the years numerous very knowledgeable and very conscious antifluoride individuals and groups have worked very hard to intervene politically, legally and educationally to protect the “public” and accurately educate the “public” regarding the toxic effects of ingesting fluoridated drinking water.

Having worked in this antifluoride arena myself for many years I do understand the drama and trauma involved in this quest and I do have a very sincere appreciation for everyone who has in the past, and who presently is working to end this drinking water fluoridation fraud. However, no matter how effective any of us have been, there are still more communities being conned by those educationally deficient “fluoride expert” dentists into adding the toxic fluoride chemical to their drinking water supply.

I am in not saying that all of this previous antifluoride work hasn’t been effective because without all the dedicated effort of so many individuals for so many years the scope of this drinking water fluoridation problem would definitely be much worse.

For example: In the American Dental Association’s ADA News, October 04, 2010, page 6 is an article titled CDC reports increased access to fluoridated water.

I quote from this article:

“The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, updating…” the best available science” said it “continues to
strongly support community water fluoridation as a safe and effective public health measure to prevent and control tooth decay and improve overall health.

“CDC… statistics show an increase of 3 percentage points in access to optimally fluoridated drinking water, stating that community water fluoridation reaches a new high in the U.S.

“We know from years of experience and scientific study that community water fluoridation benefits everyone.

“The latest CDC statistics show that the nation is continuing to make significant progress toward achieving the national fluoridation goal of having 75 percent of people served by public water systems receiving optimally fluoridated water.

“Community water fluoridation prevents tooth decay in children and adult throughout the lifespan the CDC said.

“A study published in the Journal of Dental Research found that fluoridation prevents about 27 percent of cavities in adults.”
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I contacted the ADA News and got a copy of this “study” and upon reading it I found that there were absolutely no findings that fluoridated drinking water “prevents about 27 percent of cavities in adults. (Journal Dental Research 86(5):410-415, 2007)

This “study” is merely another of the scripted and sculptured “studies” that had a predetermination conclusion to be reached.

What they did was “study” a large number of “studies” that were also scripted and sculptured and eliminated all those that did not fit the conclusion they wanted to reach.

This “study” is full of words like “estimated” and “suggests.” Valid scientific studies are not done with a multiple of variables which are “estimates” and “suggestions!”

This study is just more of the same con job from the ADA.
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In the American Dental Association’s November 15, 2010 ADA News publication, page 10, is stated that the State of Nebraska “requires statewide fluoridation”…and “cities with populations of more than 1000 to add fluoride to the water supply.”

I ask: Where in the heck is the ethically educated dental community here? Why isn’t the dental community in an outrage that the ADA would require the toxic fluoride chemical be ingested by that “public” the dentists are sworn to protect? Oh, that’s right; because the American Dental Association is in bed with Chemical Corporate America and is also viciously protecting its vested interest…the dental community has been severely duped…and remains so today.
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Thankfully, more and more of the “public” is being accurately educated and is continuing to stand up and take action as many others have for so many years.
Again, I am not saying that all the antifluoridation work done over the last 65 years or so has not been effective because without all the effort of so many of us I would bet that the CDC and ADA would have reached their 100 per cent goal many years ago.

It has taken a tremendous amount of effort from all of us to keep the fluoridation rate down to 75 per cent; especially considering all the bovine excrement the ADA spews.

I do have a concern that what I present here might be perceived by some who might think that I am overlooking the excellent effectiveness of the various antifluoride actions that have been taken by others for so many years. Again, I am extremely appreciative of the actions and results of others and I will continue to support their intervention as I have in the past. I consider myself to be a part of the whole. This isn’t about me or you; this is about “we” “us.” My desire is to work in harmony with any and all antifluoride actions and to have all of those actions begin to include this ethics violation scenario in to whatever you are already doing. I am not judging nor looking to change, alter or modify anything that anyone else is doing to end the addition of the toxic fluoride chemical to the public drinking water supplies. All I am doing here is giving all of you an additional piece to this puzzle to incorporate into what you are now doing in your quest to end water fluoridation.

You can lead a horse to water but you can’t make it drink. Here you will have power of the Dental Board’s own Rules and Regulations to drag the dentists to the ACCURATE fluoridated water science and you will be able to REQUIRE those dentists to get accurately educated.

Let’s put that individual practicing dentist on the witness stand and in a situation where his/her dental license is on the line and where they HAVE to be exposed to the valid science and cannot just put their fingers in their ears, or close their eyes, or fall asleep, give you a single digit salute or denigrate or ignore you. Those dentists will have to sit there, like it or not, and be accurately educated or be held in contempt.

Isn’t this what you have been praying for…?

I learned from the work of many others who came before me. For many years I wrote, spoke, petitioned, educated and debated with the ADA, and all of those other governmental obstacle course agencies such as the USPHS, EPA and FDA.

So it is the work of many others that assisted me in understanding this drinking water fluoridation fraud. It is that information that I used to support my decision to consider filing ethics charges against all the members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry.
My intention is to put the water fluoridation educationally deficient dentist in a situation where they HAVE TO LISTEN and they HAVE TO FINALLY GET ACCURATELY EDUCATED…and all you members of the “public” who the dentists are supposed to be protecting will be invited to be right there personally participating in the education of those fluoridated drinking water educationally deficient dentist…

All of you will be welcome to participate in this setting where the dentists will be in a position where they will have to listen to the science and will be unable to be sweet-talked by the ADA and the ADA won’t be able to thumb their nose at you or turn on a red light or denigrate you for requiring them to honor their already made ethics promises.

What I ask of all of you is to incorporate what I am doing here with what you are doing. This is not about doing something different than whatever action you are now taking. Just use this ethics stuff to add another chapter to your book of evidence and strategy. As always, ending water fluoridation is a we project, not a me project.

It is all of you that have helped me get to this point and I ask that you let me help you now…but you will have to help me help you!
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“It’s amazing what you can get done when you don’t mind who gets the credit.”

--Benjamin Franklin

$$$$$$

I see ending water fluoridation as being a puzzle that has to be put together in order to succeed. Once we get all the pieces of the puzzle located and in place, we will be able to see a completed puzzle and will be able to see what we will need to do to end drinking water fluoridation.

What will happen here is that we will not have to directly argue the science here, instead the science will be used to document ethical violations. Corporate Chemical America, ADA and the Dental Board will not be able to argue the science at all as they have absolutely no science to scientifically support their decision to endorse adding the toxic fluoride chemical to the public drinking water. All they have is their wordspin, denigrating comments, bovine excrement and their premeditated, scripted and sculptured “studies” that absolutely don’t even come close to meeting the criteria of a scientifically done study.

What I am doing here is supplying all of you with another of the puzzle pieces. I would hope this is a key piece. Time will tell…but I do consider that what I am giving you here is a very vital piece to this puzzle. So please incorporate this into whatever you are doing! USE IT..!
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Let’s all work together on this as our Goals are the same …
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One major obstacle I see the antifluoridation folk run into routinely is that the public is led to believe the
ADA’s illusion that dentists are “FLUORIDE EXPERTS” and the American Dental Association tells the public that they “should ONLY listen to their dentist when making a decision about drinking water fluoridation issues.”

This “dentist being a fluoride expert” illusion the ADA has created needs to be neutered.

My intention here is not to say that what I am doing here is better than what has been, and is being done by many other’s…my intention is to move this process up a notch by going after the credentials of those pseudo “fluoride expert” Dental Board dentists who continue to support this fluoride fraud.

I want to support all antifluoride individuals and groups by forcing all these profluoride “fluoride expert” dentists to finally get accurately educated instead of just being the naïve and gullible profluoride dogma spewing, brainwashed mantra chanting hypnotized parrots of the American Dental Association.

You can lead a horse to water but you can’t make it drink…We need to drag the Dental Board Members to the accurate water fluoridation science and require them to learn…

If they do refuse to get accurately educated and continue to support the addition of toxic fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water supplies then those dentists will still be in gross violation of Professional Ethics and need to be removed from the Dental Board and their License to Practice Dentistry needs to be revoked.

To my knowledge, this approach has never been attempted before. I am quite sure this will also stir up Corporate Chemical America’s hornets nest. This will all be extremely controversial, especially for the duped dentists. Even if you are not in full agreement with this ethics violation approach I would ask that you step back and look at the bigger picture and then choose to support an ethics complaint against any Dental Board Member anywhere who continues to support the addition of the toxic fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water.

I am naïve as to the legal protocol here but my goal here is to create a situation where the dentists’ (Dental Board Members first) are the ones on the hot seat. Whenever I have experienced myself or others attempting to present valid antifluoride science to a dentist or the ADA, EPA or the FDA I have found that all this stuff we present just falls on deaf ears and wordspin is applied to discredit the valid science and all the valid antifluoride science is just ignored.

If the Dental Board members are the ones on the hot seat, and it is their dental licenses that are on the line, they will have to listen differently and will have to get educated as they will have to pass a test and they will have to give up their profluoride position as the scientific evidence will clearly prove...
their profluoride position to be extremely inaccurate.
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I would think that any ethical dentist would agree that it is best for them to be educated accurately about the science of any dental procedure he/she performs in their dental offices.

Whether the dentist is prepping a tooth for a non-mercury filling, or a crown or extracting a tooth, you would hope that the dentists dental school had educated him/her correctly and accurately to perform those procedures. Then you would also want the State the dentist was licensed in to have properly tested that dentist before issuing the dentist a license to practice.

Having been involved in all of this educational stuff myself I know the schools and individual states do a good job at getting the dentist accurately educated and tested…with a couple of exceptions; one being this drinking water fluoridation fraud.

Since an ethical dentist would agree that accurate science, education and ethics are all number one for successful patient treatment…then why would they deny their patients, or the public, the same education accuracy for water fluoridation accuracy?

Why would any ethical dentist want to be labeled as a “fluoride expert” when in fact they are not the least bit knowledgeable?
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The kicker here that makes the dentist cooperate with the antifluoride folk will be the fact that the dentists dental license will still be on the line. If the dentists, after being accurately educated, continue to support water fluoridation, they will still be in violation of required dental ethics. This will all be explained when you read the full story in the following letter that can be sent to the Board Members of the Oklahoma Board Members once a complaint is filed.

Now, the opportunity this will give to all you enlightened antifluoride folks is this: When this hearing is held, I can notify all of you and invite each of you to observe and/or present whatever valid science you want to present to those Dental Board Members who will be sitting in the hot seat. They won’t be able to just kiss up to the ADA, they will not be able to thumb their noses at you, they will not be able to just ignore and insult you. So I invite all you antifluoride folks to think about what you want to present and wait for a hearing time to be set and come and join the fun.
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Dental Boards, as required by law, have only one obligation…that is to “protect the public from harm.” Regarding the fluoridation of the public’s drinking water, the Dental Boards are grossly failing to do that.
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As you will read elsewhere on this web site, this fluoride fraud is not the only area where Dental Boards are grossly failing to “protect the public.” There were recently hearings at the FDA where
they were reevaluating FDA rules regarding dental mercury. A group opposing mercury dental fillings, Consumers for Dental Choice, headed by attorney Charles Brown, presented a considerable amount of science in their attempt to have mercury fillings banned.

In spite of Brown’s excellent presentation of the science the FDA voted to continue to allow the toxin mercury to be placed into Humans teeth.

Interesting enough, the deciding FDA Commissioner Dr. Margaret Hamburg is also CEO of Henry Schein Inc. which is the nations top dental mercury seller. Dr. Hamburg promised that there is no conflict of interest here…even though Schein gave her a hefty bonus…

You got a henhouse you need guarded…?

If you would like to read more about Dr. Hamburg’s integrity deficiency you can go to the Consumer for Dental Choice web site at www.toxicteeth.org.
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I pledge allegiance to the flag of the corporate states of America, and to the stockholders, for which they stand, one nation, under Mammon, socially divisible with liberty and justice for some.
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The Rules and Regulations of the Board of Dentistry, State of Oklahoma, states that “Any person may file a written and signed complaint with the Board…” and that “The complaint must be in writing and signed …”

You do not have to be a citizen of Oklahoma to file a complaint against any Oklahoma dentist who is in an ethics violation situation due to that dentists continued support of drinking water fluoridation.

Read the Official Complaint Form of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry and my potential letter to the dental board members, both found in this PDF. If you want to you can download your own complaint form by going to the Oklahoma Dental Boards web site at http://www.dentist.state.ok.us.

On that site you will find an official Oklahoma Board of Dentistry Complaint Form that you can download so you can file an ethics complaint. The more complaints filed the greater chance of success on ending this water fluoridation fraud. The Oklahoma Dental Board needs to be bombarded with hundreds, or thousands, or millions of ethical complaints.

Notice on that Oklahoma Board of Dentistry Complaint Form it asks that the form be notarized but it also states that “If a notary is not available, please have this complaint witnessed.” So it will not be complicated for you to file your own ethics complaint.

You can also e-mail Oklahoma Dental Board Members at dentist@oklaosf.state.ok.us and send them your comments.

I can assure you that the scenario needed to end this drinking water fluoridation fraud is much larger than me and to successfully end the addition of the toxic fluoride chemical to the public’s
drinking water is significantly dependent on support and action from all of us.

I know that Chemical Corporate America, the American Dental Association and the Oklahoma Dental Board have unlimited funds available to protect their drinking water fluoridation fraud…my funds are miniscule.

However, I don’t think that getting the members of the dental board to honor their already made professional ethics promises should have to require funding. Instead, ethical dentists should be more than willing to simply honor their already made ethics promises and willingly get accurately educated and act with that integrity they profess to have and immediately call for a ban on the addition of the toxic fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water supplies…and quit kissing up to the ADA! So please help in this quest…When complaints are filed and the dental board replies or takes some action, or inaction, I will add that information to this website so that you can track the progress. If you file any ethics complaints against the Dental Board of your state, please let me know what occurs.

If any of you want to send me your e-mail address you can go to the comments and questions section of this web site and give it to me that way and I can put you on a list to update you as needed.

For now download the next PDF titled “Complaint Form.” There you will find a completed Oklahoma Board of Dentistry’s complaint form that could be used to file a complaint. The form shown here is in the name the Dental Board President and could be used for the first ethics complaint filed.

Additional individual complaints could then be filed against all the other members of the board. Their names are listed on the first page of the letter to the Dental Board Members which is the next PDF you will be looking at.

The letter in that “Dental Board Letter” PDF is a letter that could be sent to all the board members to begin educating them about some of the drinking water fluoridation science that was intentionally omitted from their formal dental school education and to wake them up as to the misinformation they were taught in their respective dental schools.

I would also want the dentist reading this to know that what I present here is definitely just the tip of the iceberg. There are tons of accurate fluoride data available that could be presented here but I am not interested in writing volumes.
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Please read all of this and then, if appropriate for you, consider filing your own letter of complaint with the Oklahoma Dental Board or the Dental Board of your State or country. Or, at a minimum, at least make others aware of all of this.

Again, I know that some may not be in full agreement with my approach here but then I want you to notice that after 60 some years of very dedicated work from so many individuals that of all those approaches that have been attempted so far, no matter how effective
they have been, toxic fluoride chemicals are still being dripped into more and more of the public’s drinking water supplies. Something is missing…that something is an accurately educated dental community.

In my opinion this ethics issue is a piece of the antifluoridation puzzle and is a lever that needs to be incorporated into whatever it is that all of us are doing, just as I have incorporated what all of you are doing into this.

Using this ethics stuff as a lever will decrease the amount of work that needs to be done and should help all of us to proceed with what we are already doing but in a more efficient manner.

This approach needs to be directed directly towards those educationally deficient dentists who are under the illusion that they are credible “FLUORIDE EXPERTS” when in fact they are merely brainwashed hypnotized puppets of the ADA and the ADA has absolutely no intention to ever acknowledge this duping of the dentist.

The ADA just ignores, word spins, or turn a blind eye to accurate water fluoridation science

So I ask that you study all of this and seriously think about this. Consider taking some action. There are many things to choose from as far as any action you might take. At a minimum, make others aware of all of this. That way, even if you are not the one to act on this, maybe you will help me stumble across those who will be the ones to act.

I want to emphasize that I have not officially filed any ethics complaint with the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry at this time (01-2011). Nor have I sent the individual board members any letters or any other communication. First I need to see what’s so with the universe out there.

If any of you should choose to take any action on your own now I would have to ask that when you are in a courtroom scenario will you know the questions to ask that will produce the intended result.

I am working on a list of questions that can be asked of a dentist to guide that dentist on the path that will get that dentist to shift /her from his dental school mis-education status to an accurately educated position. In time, as I finish those questions and get strategy notes written I will add all of that to this site.

For now, read the other PDF’s here and incorporate all of this into your thinking and consider some type of action.