Dear Dental Board Members:

As you Board Members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry already know The State Dental Act of Oklahoma and the American Dental Association Principal of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct require that I as a licensed dentist in the State of Oklahoma am “obligated to communicate information on an adverse reaction to a drug or dental device to the broader medical and dental community.” I am also obligated to “make the results and benefits of my investigative efforts available to all when they are useful in safeguarding or promoting the health of the public.” I am also obligated to educate myself beyond the usual level and to obey the Golden Rule, “whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them.”

In fulfilling the above Dental Board ethical requirements I find it necessary to “communicate the results and benefits of my investigative efforts” to each of you in this manner. Likewise, since each of you are obligated by the same ethical requirements it will definitely be necessary that you study and absorb all of the information I am presenting here so that you are also ethically “educated beyond the usual level” and also because you represent the “dental community.” I do realize that what I am giving you here is lengthy, but no matter how lengthy this is, it is just the tip of the iceberg as to what I could be sharing with you…and, The State Dental Act of Oklahoma and your already made ethical promises don’t state that presented data has to be conveniently short in length. Remember that the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct states that “The maintenance and enrichment of professional status place on
everyone who practices dentistry an **obligation which should be willingly accepted and willingly fulfilled.**” As I am sure that each of you wants to willingly and ethically fulfill your already made ethical promises…I will proceed.
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As you Board members know, it is now required by the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry that all licensed dentist are required to take an ethics course once in each three year continuing education reporting period. On March 24, 2009, I attended an excellent ethics course here in Tulsa titled Ethics In Practice that was sponsored by the Tulsa County Endo Study Club. Dr. Richard Brown and Dr. Matthew Walls explained this course was approved by the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry. What this course boiled down to was for the dentist to “do no harm” and “to practice the Golden Rule.” You know…do unto others as you want done to you…

At the time of this ethics course I was immersed in my studies and the writing of the Fluoride Fraud PDF which currently appears on my web site. (I have also attached a copy of that Fluoride Fraud PDF here which follows this letter.) As I sat in this ethics course watching all the dentist proudly admiring their ethics I thought about how, regarding fluoridated drinking water, that the American Dental Association (ADA), the vast majority of Oklahoma dentists and organized dentistry in general is extremely lacking in ethics… and most practicing dentists as well. All of you Board Members are probably naive to that fact since the vast majority of dentists are **extremely resistant** to even looking at the slightest possibility of the existence of this obvious drinking water fluoridation fraud.
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The State Dental Act of Oklahoma Section 328.2 states that “The practice of dentistry in the State of Oklahoma is hereby declared to affect the public health, safety and general welfare and to be subject to regulation and control in the public’s best interest.” It is further declared to “be a matter of public interest and concern that the dental profession, through advancement and achievement, merits and receives the confidence of the public and that only **properly qualified** dentist be permitted to practice dentistry …”

Section 328.21 C states that “An application from a candidate who desires to secure a license or certificate of ability from the Board to practice dentistry or dental hygiene in this state shall be accompanied by satisfactory proof that the applicant is:

1. A graduate of an accredited dental college, if the applicant is to practice dentistry; or

2. A graduate of an accredited dental hygiene program, if the applicant is to practice dental hygiene. The college or program, in either case, shall be accredited by the Commission on Dental Accreditation of the American Dental Association.”
Section 328:15 B-12 states that The Board is authorized and empowered to: “Recognize the parameters of care established by the American Dental Association;”

I know the ADA has no legal jurisdiction in the State of Oklahoma but since the State Dental Act of Oklahoma has brought the American Dental Association in thru the backdoor and since both are so closely intertwined I need to also bring the American Dental Association’s ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct into this communication. To refresh your understanding of the ADA’s Code of Ethics I quote from their brochure titled Principals of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct:

“The maintenance and enrichment of professional status place on everyone who practices dentistry an obligation which should be willingly accepted and willingly fulfilled. While the basic obligation is constant, its fulfillment may vary with the changing needs of a society composed of the human beings that the profession is dedicated to serve. The spirit of obligation, therefore, must be the guide of conduct for the professionals. This obligation has been summarized for all time in the Golden Rule which asks only that whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them.”

Continuing to quote from the ADA Code of Ethics: “The practice of dentistry first achieved the stature of the profession in the United States when, through the heritage bestowed by efforts of many generation of dentist, it acquired three unfailing characteristics of a profession: the primary duty of service to the public, education beyond the usual level, and a responsibility for self-government.”

The ADA’s Code of Professional Conduct further states that “The privilege of dentist to be accorded professional status rests primarily in the knowledge and experience with which they serve their patients and society. All dentists therefore have the obligation of keeping their knowledge and skill current.”

Also stated is that: “Dentist have the obligation of making the results and benefits of their investigative efforts available to all when they are useful in safeguarding or promoting the health of the public.”

Also stated is that: “A dentist who suspects the occurrence of an adverse reaction to a drug or dental device has an obligation to communicate that information to the broader medical and dental community, including, in the case of a serious adverse event, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).”

With these Ethics requirements of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry and the American Dental Association in mind and since I am a licensed dentist in the State of Oklahoma I am ethically and legally required to meet specific educational qualifications and to practice dentistry in such a way that is in the public’s best interest. I am also obligated to keep my knowledge and skill current and to educate myself beyond the usual level and to make the results of my investigative efforts available to all when they are useful in safeguarding or promoting the health of the public and if I suspect the occurrence of an adverse reaction to a drug or chemical I have an obligation to communicate that
information to the broader medical and dental community, including the Food and Drug Administration. These ethical requirements don’t apply only to me. These ethical requirements apply to all dentists...especially to all of you Board Members since the “Board” is who made the rules in the first place…and you folks are the sworn “protectors of the public!”

I firmly believe that the members of the Oklahoma Dental Board, including the non-dental members of the Board, are definitely required by the above stated ethics to “educate yourselves beyond the usual level” and to honestly and sincerely study all these “results” of my “investigative efforts” in a nonbiased and sincerely honest manner...thereby ethically “protecting the public…”

**Since it is members of the Dental Board who have made the rules…I will only be asking that you practice what you preach…Nothing more!**

I want it understood that when I am discussing ethics here I am only referring to ethics regarding the addition of the toxic fluoride chemicals to the public’s drinking water supplies. I am not saying the ethics issues I discuss here are at the level of context. I am not saying that at a level of context that the dental schools or the ADA or the dental profession is totally unethical. I am only speaking content. Here I am only referring to professional ethics regarding the addition of the toxic fluoride chemical to the public drinking water supplies under the guise that it “reduces tooth decay” and is “safe, beneficial and of value.”

The dental profession has ethics and the current dental educational system is very good at teaching dentists how to successfully treat the symptoms of a dental disease process which, according to Charles C. Bass, MD, is preventable.
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I realize that you are probably rolling your eyes, clenching your teeth and maybe a little red-faced and saying what the heck is this all about and wishing I would just go away? I do realize this will probably be a very difficult process for most of you to get thru since the truth sometimes hurts...**but you have got to get thru this as you are required to** by the ethics requirements of the State Dental Act of Oklahoma.

Myself, and the “public,” wants to support all of you Board Members in “keeping your knowledge current” by educating yourselves “beyond the usual level” as you clear up your drinking water fluoridation educational deficiencies by honoring your already promised ethics so that each of you can truly “protect the public health, safety and general welfare in the public’s best interest.” I really want to support you in honoring your already made ethics agreements. All you have to do is do the job you have already promised the “public” you would do and there won’t be any problems. Again, just practice what you preach!
Remember that the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry’s ONLY job is to protect the “public health, safety and general welfare.” The Dental Board has absolutely no obligation to protect the United States Public Health Service (USPHS), FDA, American Dental Association (ADA), Corporate Chemical America or any dentist. According to The State Dental ACT of Oklahoma the Dental Boards ONLY job is to protect the public.

The purpose of all of the data I am giving you here is to serve to assist all of you Board Members to responsibly educate yourselves “beyond the usual level” so you can fulfill the Oklahoma Dental Board’s “Mission Statement.”

Just to refresh your memory, that “Mission Statement” reads as follows:

“The mission of the Board of Dentistry is to promote, protect and provide public health and safety to the citizens of Oklahoma by regulating the practice of dentistry, dental hygiene, dental assisting and the fabrication of dental appliances in dental laboratories by enforcing laws, rules and policies. The Board will ensure ethical, competent and moral character of all licensees or permit holders. The Board will provide all resources required to accomplish its goals and objectives.”

I want you to know that I fully understand what you are up against here as you learn the truth about this drinking water fluoridation fraud. My formal dental education was the same as yours as I was also indoctrinated in dental school with the dogma that the addition of fluoride to the public drinking water was somehow related to “reduced tooth decay rates” and was “safe, beneficial and of value.” What I eventually was to discover is that dental school indoctrination was really just a brainwashing. Upon learning the truth about this fluoride fraud I was extremely confronted as you probably will be. Eventually I became very disappointed with the dental profession for allowing this fraud to continue to be imposed on the public…you know…that “public” you are supposed to be protecting.

I am only supplying you with a few sources of information here but as you study this information though I want you to notice all the references contained in this data I am supplying you with. Understand that what I have supplied you with is just the tip of the iceberg as to what scientific information is available which will fully document the existence of this water fluoridation fraud. So don’t look it like I have overloaded you with information here…If you desire more I can give you tons of data when you complete this.

I want to now explain to you how I reached my conclusion. And, even though you would probably like to stop reading this here and just toss this all in the trash and continue to keep your head stuck in the sand…the State Dental Act of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry doesn’t allow for you to ignore the science and instead requires that you educate yourselves “beyond the usual level” and to “protect the public.”

So here goes…
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About 25 years ago, being aware there was considerable controversy regarding the alleged safety or hazards of consuming fluoridated drinking water, out of curiosity, I begin to study the science involved with the water fluoridation controversy. I first communicated with the USPHS and the ADA and requested that they inform me of any studies that were done that supported their claims that consuming fluoridated drinking water “reduced tooth decay” and was “safe, beneficial and of value.”

The ADA sent me numerous “studies” including several of the Newburgh-Kingston studies and several of the Grand Rapids studies. The USPHS and the ADA referred to all these studies they sent me as their “classical fluoride studies.” They explained to me that these “classical fluoride studies” would document the “reduction in tooth decay rates” and “safety, benefits and value” of consuming fluoridated drinking water. I studied everything the ADA sent to me and I also researched the Journal of the American Dental Association (JADA) and medical journals for additional documentation that would support the decision to add those toxic fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water supplies.

In order to seek a balanced and complete water fluoridation education I obviously had to learn about both sides of the water fluoridation controversy issues...not just the one side of the issue as taught by the ADA and the dental schools. That’s when I contacted antifluoridation groups and requested information from them that would support their antifluoridation position.

My intention was to look at all this pro and anti fluoridation date with an open mind...as I pray those of you reading this will also do!

To make a long story short, as I studied all the pro and antifluoridation drinking water data I could find I eventually began to conclude that virtually ALL the pro water fluoridation studies had severe flaws. It was very evident that absolutely none of the pro fluoride research “studies” were done following even a smidgen of the protocol of the Scientific Method.

You do remember don’t you the protocol of the Scientific Method that all of us dentists learned about years ago in our high school science classes? If you have forgotten, it’s all explained in the enclosed Fluoride Fraud PDF copy. What you will learn is that there were numerous variables not taken into consideration in any of these USPHS and ADA “classical” drinking water fluoridation studies, thus grossly failing to follow the protocol of the Scientific Method.

Another thing you will be learning here is that Trendley Dean, D.D.S., the USPHS’ top dog fluoride monger who headed up this water fluoridation fraud scenario back in the 1930’ and 40’s, in 1984 testified under oath at the California Water Commission that the USPHS drinking water studies were not done following the Scientific Method as the same children in these “classical fluoride studies” were never followed from one year to
the next and the drinking water sources often changed and were not consistent. This means that numerous variables were not taken into consideration in arriving at their conclusions, thus rendering all the USPHS and ADA “classical fluoride studies” scientifically invalid.

After many years of my carefully studying all the water fluoridation science, both pro and anti, I came to the conclusion that those opposed to the fluoridation of the public drinking water were absolutely correct in their understanding of the science and that virtually all the mantra chanting fluoride mongering dentists are grossly lacking in accurate scientifically based understandings. I think that you members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry will reach the same conclusion when, as required by your ethics, you “educate yourselves beyond the usual level” and honestly study the science that has been intentionally omitted from your dental education by the ADA, USPHS and Corporate Chemical America.

Based on my investigative efforts I know that the vast majority of dentists have an absolutely zero accurate education regarding the fluoridation of public drinking water. I expect you Board Members will puff up and arrogantly and strongly disagree with me on this point but once you clear up your own personal water fluoridation educational deficiencies you will realize that my statement is 100 percent correct.

Recall your dental school education regarding the fluoridation of the public drinking water. There is not a one of us dentist who ever took a drinking water fluoridation course in dental school titled “Fluoridated Drinking Water 101.” Our dental school drinking water fluoridation education consisted only of being told that drinking water fluoridation reduced tooth decay and is good, great and wonderful and that anyone who disagrees is bad and wrong.

I know that Corporate Chemical America, the USPHS and the ADA wants that public you are supposed to be protecting to view us dentists as badge wearing, card carrying Fluoride Experts…but bottom line is that us dentist are not even in the ballpark of expertness as we are not taught anything that even comes close to accurate science regarding drinking water fluoridation.

The folks on this planet who are the least knowledgeable about drinking water fluoridation are dentist... I don’t say that to make any dentist wrong. I only state it as an accurate point of fact. Not judgment! However, it is time for all you educationally deficient fluoride mongering mantra chanting dentist to wake up and realize that the jig is up and you need step down off that “fluoride expert” pedestal that you have placed yourselves on. None of you have even a smidgen of the education that would entitle you to be on some “water fluoridation expert” pedestal.
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I want to strongly encourage you Dental Board members to honestly study this scientific data I am giving you here so you become “educated beyond the usual level,” as your
already promised ethics require, and then act appropriately to “protect the public.” By ending this water fluoridation fraud you will be able to avoid any ethics complaints that have been or could be filed against you…unless of course you should fail to “make the results and benefits of your investigative efforts available to all when they are useful in safeguarding or promoting the health of the public.”
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I do want you to understand that even though I have reached my conclusion that Corporate Chemical America, the ADA and the USPHS have intentionally committed fraud, I do continue to remain 100 percent open to any scientifically done profluoride data that you can supply to me and the “public” that would prove our present anti-drinking water fluoridation conclusion to be in error.
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I do know that you dentists have the ability to read science so be sure that any “science” you send to me that claims to support the decision to add the toxic fluoride chemical to the public drinking water supplies conforms to the Scientific Method and are not just more of the premeditated, scripted and sculptured “classical fluoride studies” as done by the USPHS and the ADA. Don’t embarrass yourselves by sending me invalid science as myself and others also have the ability to read and understand science and we can’t be tricked!

To date, no science has shown up that would have me change my conclusion, and believe me, I have looked. In fact, what continually shows up is more and more data that documents the antifluoridation position to be the correct one. What continues to show up more and more is that I continue to find it easy to document that the USPHS, ADA and the dental professions decision to fluoridate the public drinking water was, and still is, based on premeditated, scripted and sculptured fraudulent science.

I want all of you Board Members to work real hard in your attempt to prove me wrong here because the more you try to make me wrong, the more you will make me right…

Now, I know that those of you Board Members who are dentist and have read this far will probably be getting an attitude and will be putting on your arrogant mask and into strong denial as well as dreading this subject coming up. I know that you dentists who are elected to serve on the Dental Board are virtually 100 percent good old boys/gals who are extremely USHPS, ADA and establishment orientated. The dentist who voted for you to become Board Members definitely want you to support the status quo…they don’t want any waves made. So I know that you would probably just prefer to trash this communication and get back to your drill and fill. However, it is you Board Members
who set up the rules of this game and ignoring your own rules is not an option. Again, it’s time to practice what you preach…
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Section 195:3-1-2(a) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Dentistry of the State of Oklahoma states that “Any person may file a written and signed complaint with the Board…” I qualify as an “any person” as well as a citizen of the State of Oklahoma. Therefore, regarding the addition of the toxic fluoride chemical to the public drinking water supply, as a citizen of Oklahoma and as a member of the “public” I am not the least but opposed to filing an ethics complaint against all of the Board Members of the Oklahoma Dental Board because of their gross violations of the Board mandated ethic requirements.

There are members of the “public” you are supposed to be protecting who are also considering filing ethics complaints against all of you Dental Board Members. Therefore, you probably should avoid sticking your head in the sand now because as sworn members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry you are legally bound to the Mission Statement of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry to “promote, protect and provide public health and safety to the citizens of Oklahoma” and to “ensure ethical, competent and moral character of all licensees…” If additional ethics complaint is filed against each of you I would expect you would want to study all information that myself and the “public” gives to you so you will understand what you need to know to defend yourselves against and to understand where you are in violation of your already promised ethics. Also understand that what has been given to you here is just the tip of the iceberg as to the volumes of scientific antifluoridation data that is available to give to you. When you are ready for more just request it.

I know that what I write here will be very confronting for you dentist. However, the State Dental Act and your Ethics requirements don’t allow you to avoid an issue just because it confronts and challenges your preconceived opinions, judgments and evaluations. If you don’t like the way I say some things here, I got it…but this isn’t about you or I…it is about the “public health and safety of the citizens of Oklahoma” and each of you fulfilling your already stated promise to “protect the public” whether you like it or not.

I am not the only one who will be watching how you each of you Board members handle eliminating your educational deficiencies regarding the safety or hazard of consuming toxic fluoridated drinking water. By the time you read this I will already have placed this letter on my website for the “public” of the planet to read.

You Board Members need to realize that the “public” ain’t barefoot and pregnant anymore. The “public” is now getting educated faster and much more accurately than are the dentist and that educated “public” will be watching how you Dental Board dentists handle your drinking water fluoridation educational process.
I know this educational process will be pretty much one-sided as it is you Board members that need to be educated here, not me... We will support you in getting your fluoride education deficiencies completed even if we have to drag the horse to water and make it drink. With this in mind...
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As I wrote above, the more I studied both sides of this water fluoridation issue the more I could see that the anti’s are the accurate ones, not the profluoridationist. What I am also finding is that even as I continue my personal fluoride education the more I find out about water fluoridation the worse things look for you Dental Board fluoride-mongers that support the addition of the toxic fluoride chemical to the public’s drinking water supplies.

About 25 years ago I wrote a brief paper regarding what I had learned about all of this. More recently I wrote another paper that is more lengthy and much more detailed. I have placed both of these papers on my web site, www.DentalConfessions.com and I have also included both of those papers here. Thousands of individuals have visited this site and have already read the PDF files. As a result I am getting a lot of correspondence and am receiving more information both pro and anti to drinking water fluoridation. To date, there has not been any source of profluoride information that has provided me with even one study that was done following the Scientific Method that would support and decision to continue fluoridating the public’s drinking water.

One document I was recently made aware of is a book titled The Fluoride Deception by Christopher Bryson. Christopher Bryson is an award-winning investigative reporter and television producer. I have included a copy of that book here that all of you Board Members need to read. The last page of the book, page 380 lists Bryson’s excellent credentials. You will also notice a massive amount of reference material listed.

Obviously I cannot force you to read Bryson’s book but I would hope that you will understand that reading this book should not be an option for you. This book will be one of numerous documents entered as evidence to support any ethics complaint that might be filed against each of you and to support the “public’s” opposition to the addition of toxic fluoride chemicals to the public’s drinking water supplies. For sure you will be tested on the contents of this book during any ethics hearing so you might want to be familiar with all of this so you can defend yourself.

I am not presenting data here for the purpose of convincing all of you dentists that I am right and that you are wrong. I don’t hold it that you are wrong, I hold it that you have just been grossly miseducated by the “establishment.” This is about you Board members experiencing yourselves, experiencing yourselves, getting ACCURATELY educated about what fluoridated drinking water really is and what it really isn’t. This is not about you and me against each other. This is not a pissing contest. This is about ALL dentists finally learning the TRUTH about this toxic chemical fluoride that is being added to the public’s drinking water under the guise that it has something to do with lowering tooth decay rates...just as I had to learn this truth several years ago.
You will be learning many things that the USPHS and the ADA has intentionally withheld from your dental education. Just consider all of what I give you here to be a darn good Drinking Water Fluoridation Continuing Education Course. Once each of you get your drinking water fluoridation educational deficiencies corrected, then, it will be necessary for the Board to see to it that all dentists in Oklahoma also get their water fluoridation educational deficiencies eliminated also. That means you will need to require that any fluoridated drinking water ethics course has to be truthful, accurate and ethical.

Likewise, for you non-dentist members of the Board, I am not out to name call or judge and hopefully you aren’t either. When I state that dentists have a fluoride drinking water educational deficiency I am not adding any judgment to that statement. I am not saying that anyone with any kind of educational deficiency is bad or wrong. It only means that educationally something is missing. We all have educational deficiencies in many areas. I am out to educate you as to what has been also intentionally omitted from your education by the ADA and possibly by those dentists who are sitting at that table with you and the ADA. I also suggest that you listen very closely to the dentist on this Board as they discuss all of this. Notice how they handle this. Notice if they are continuing to deny the valid antifluoridation science and instead just continue to kiss up to Corporate Chemical America, the USPHS and the ADA in continuing this water fluoridation fraud. If any dentist on this board chooses to now knowingly continue to climb into bed with the ADA, remind them that they have already promised to serve and protect the “public” which includes you nondentist Board Members, your children, grandchildren, nieces and nephews. Explain to these dentist that you don’t appreciate them continuing to allow us to be ingesting a known cellular toxin.
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I want each of you dentist to stop and think for a moment about what you see in your dental practice. Think about all those children you see who exhibit dental fluorosis. For you non-dentist Board members, fluorosis of the teeth appears as light or white spots or lesions on the teeth. These white spots appear on the teeth of virtually every child who is native to a fluoridated drinking water community even when the drinking water is fluoridated at the “Recommended Level” as established by the USPHS and the ADA.

What is acknowledged by the ADA, but is seriously downplayed, is that fluorosis only occurs when a child ingests fluoridated drinking water. Also known but downplayed is the fact that the ingested fluoride chemical poisons those enzymes that are necessary for normal tooth enamel calcification. Then when the tooth enamel does not calcify correctly it is damaged and weakened and white lesions are formed which can eventually stain and appear as brown lesions once the tooth erupts into the mouth. A fluorosed tooth can also be more susceptible to decay.

So while an infant and a young child is going thru their very sensitive growth and development phases of all their body tissues, not just the teeth, all cells of the body are
being chronically poisoned by a toxic fluoride chemical. Do any of you fluoride mongering dentist even have an inkling of understanding about what happens when all these young developing tissues are chronically poisoned? Do you ever wonder why we have so many sick children?

What is also downplayed is that exactly the same process goes on in the bones of the skeletal system, you just can’t see it. Since ingested fluoride, over time, accumulates in the body this fluorosis of the bones eventually leads to osteosclerosis, osteopetrosis and brittle bones in older individuals (such as some of you Board Members might be) as individuals continue to accumulate more and more and more fluoride over the years. It has already been documented years ago that fluoride contributes to hip fractures in the elderly. Brittle bones are in large part a result of the poisoning of bone cells from the continual consumption of fluoridated drinking water. Corporate Chemical America, the USPHS and the ADA are all aware of all of this; they just keep forgetting to tell the dentist.

About 20 years ago, Broken Arrow, a community SE of Tulsa began to fluoridate their public drinking water supply. Prior to that, the children I saw in my dental practice who were native to Broken Arrow were free of any sign of dental fluorosis. Today, after 20 plus years of consuming fluoridated drinking water, any child native to Broken Arrow displays fluorosis symptoms. Or, to say it more accurately, these children display the classical symptoms of chronic fluoride poisoning!

Since dental fluorosis is the first visible sign of chronic fluoride poisoning then these fluorosed teeth document that these Broken Arrow children have chronically ingested a toxic dose of the poison fluoride which the USPHS and the ADA knows to be comparable to lead and arsenic poisoning as far as toxicity effects. I would like for you nondental members of the Dental Board to think about this for a moment.

Since you non dental board members don’t look at teeth all day long, I would suggest you look at the teeth of your children or grandchildren or nieces or nephews. Look for those white spots on the teeth, or for the brown stains. Again, those fluorosis lesions on the teeth 100 percent document that the child is suffering from chronic fluoride poisoning.

Children aren’t the only ones who are poisoned from consuming fluoridated drinking water. Age doesn’t matter…as long as you are ingesting fluoridated drinking water you are continuing to accumulate fluoride in your body. Fluoride will accumulate in both the hard tissues of the body as well as accumulate in the soft tissues. The older you get, the more you will accumulate. In time, chronic consumption of fluoridated drinking water, in addition to being a carcinogen, also contributes to osteopetrosis, spondylosis, brittle bones, thyroid, heart, liver, brain, and kidney diseases. Think of that, your precious little children are being chronically poisoned so the aluminum and fertilizer industry can cheaply dispose of their extremely toxic waste fluoride solutions. Understand that as the child ages into adulthood and then continues the chronic consumption of fluoridated drinking water, fluoride will continue to accumulate in ALL tissues of their body and that accumulated fluoride will continue to contribute to future health problems.
This same scenario is also true for all of you who are reading this...no matter your age. It’s going on right now!!! And guess what, since the medical community is in cahoots with the USPHS medical doctors aren’t trained to recognize how ingested fluorides contribute to the “publics” health problems so the symptoms of chronic fluoride poisoning continue to go unnoticed.
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Again, I want all of you Board members to think about your precious little children, or grand children or nephews and nieces. Do any of these relatives suffer from attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or autism? And even if none in your family members do, think about all those precious and innocent little children who do experience autism symptoms. Well…get this…In 1990, at the prestigious Forsyth Dental Center in Boston, Dr. Phyllis Mullenix preformed animals studies that clearly documented that when rats consumed fluoridated drinking water at a concentration equivalent to the fluoridation concentration of the publics drinking water there were symptoms that appeared such as “significant behavioral changes” which resembled “cognitive deficits” and “hyperactivity.”

Think about this now…aren’t those the symptoms of ADHD and autism that thousands of precious little children are today experiencing?

Dr. Mullenix did not start out with a goal of finding fluoridate drinking water to have any adverse health effects or to prove it to be bad or wrong and she was surprised by the findings of her study. Her findings were absolutely not premeditated but instead were arrived at by way of a study which absolutely followed the protocol of the Scientific Method for valid research.

When Dr. Mullenix presented her research findings to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) they did not like it that consuming fluoridated drinking water had scientifically been found to cause autism like symptoms. The NIH desperately wanted fluoridated drinking water to be found to be “safe, beneficial and of value.” When aluminum and fertilizer Corporate America found out about these findings they pressured the NIH to cut off Mullenix’s funding and severely denigrated her and had Forsyth Dental Center terminate her and her staff and the NIH just put the study on the shelf to collect dust.

There was absolutely no plan to make public Dr. Mullenix’s animal study that documented that consuming fluoridated drinking water caused autism like symptoms. This study was never expanded to human studies because the NIH and the ADA knew darn well that the same negative findings would most likely show up in the human studies and they did not want to have any of that documented as that could make them liable for the harm being caused to humans from chronic fluoride poisoning. Instead, the NIH and the ADA just continued to push to expand the addition of these toxic fluoride chemical to the public drinking water supplies...thus keeping Corporate Chemical America happy.
If you Board Members want to attempt to downplay the validity of the Forsyth Dental Center you will be fighting an uphill battle. I know that whenever some individual or organization documents that consuming fluoridated drinking water causes some adverse health symptom that the cunning and greedy USPHS, ADA, FDA, EPA and Corporate Chemical America, since they have absolutely no valid science to back them up, just dig in and become arrogant and even more self-righteous and will denigrate, assassinate character, or somehow make wrong that individual or organization in an attempt to make themselves appear superior.

Likewise, I would expect that all of the above would very much like to denigrate the Forsyth Dental Center so they can attempt to invalidate Mullenix’s brilliant research. However, that might be tough to do as the ADA, in the past, as well as presently, has been closely involved with the Forsyth Dental Center in numerous endeavors. For example, as reported in the ADA News, June 07, 2010, the ADA Center for Evidence-Based Dentistry and the Forsyth Dental Center are having an interactive evidence-based dentistry course November 1-5, 2010 at the Forsyth Institute. This course will link the ADA Center for Evidence-Based Dentistry with the Forsyth Institute’s scientific research and will cover the primary aspects of evidence-based dental practice. The ADA and the Forsyth Institute have always been close bedfellows. That’s why they were able to effectively cooperate to successfully suppress Dr. Mullenix’s research findings!

So you Board members need to get that it in 1990, at the prestigious Forsyth Dental Center in Boston, it has ALREADY been scientifically proven that chronically consuming fluoridated drinking does result in autism like behavior in animal studies…period…!

Now…I know that you dentists could try to use the argument that double-blind, peer reviewed human studies were not done to confirm Dr. Mullenix’s scientific study of autism like symptoms associated with consuming fluoridated drinking water. Well, that is because Corporate Chemical America and the NIH were not about to fund such a study because they were confident that same connection would show up again and they did not want to find that out as it would be detrimental to their claim that fluoridated drinking water was “safe, beneficial and of value.” So the best way to not have a double blind peer reviewed study is to intentionally not do one. And don’t attempt to downplay animal studies in relation to human findings. Animal studies have been and are currently being used routinely to predict the effect of pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and many other chemicals on humans. If you doubt that, pull out your PDR and start reading…animal studies are everywhere!
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I want all you nondental Board members to look all those dentist on the Board in the eye and ask why they are refusing to consider the fact that they have been miseducated by their respective dental schools, the USPHS and the ADA and why are the dentist Board members refusing to look at the fact that their actions have caused chronic fluoride
poisoning symptoms in humans and most likely contributed to those autism like symptoms in your children or grand children and others…and those brittle bone problems in adults.

When you read Bryson’s book you will learn there were other animal studies that did find that consuming fluoridated drinking water did harm to the animals and once again these studies were shelved! Anytime scientifically done studies were done that provided truthful data regarding the adverse health effects from drinking water fluoridation, the studies were shelved by those organizations who are supposed to be protecting us and these studies were never made public.

What I have written in the above paragraphs is just the tip of the ice berg as to scientific date that documents the adverse health effects of consuming fluoridated drinking water. The information I have attached here should give you sufficient science so that you will, without a reasonable doubt, realize for yourself that those opposed to the fluoridation of the public drinking water are absolutely correct…and the ADA just spews bovine excrement.
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ETHICS

Webster’s Dictionary Definitions:

“ETHICS: 1. a system of moral principals: the ethics of a culture. 2. the rules of conduct recognized in respect to a particular class of human actions or a particular group, culture, etc.: medical ethics; Christian ethics. 3. moral principals, as of an individual: His ethics. 4. branch of philosophy dealing with value relating to human conduct, with respect to the rightness and wrongness of certain actions and to the goodness and badness of the motives and ends of such actions.”

“LIPSERVICE: insincere profession of devotion or good will; service by word only: He paid lip service to the dictator.”

End of Webster’s definitions…

My definition of LIPSERVICE: “The USPHS, ADA and the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry claiming to be “ethical” and claiming to be “protecting the public” when they are actually just viciously serving self and protecting self.”

For each of you Dental Board members to get “educated beyond the usual level” instead of just giving lip service to your already promised ethics is probably going to be
extremely confronting and uncomfortable for all of you and I am sure you would rather have all of this just go away. I say that because I know that for a dentist or hygienist or public member to get elected to the Dental Board usually indicates that regarding dentistry you are a rigid conformist and very establishment orientated and very opinionated, a staunch supporter of the status quo, a yes man/woman.

However, the ethics that you Board Members are bound to follow are absolutely not about protecting Corporate America, the USPSH, the ADA or the dentist or hygienists. The ethics you are bound to follow are ONLY there for the protection of the public from the dentist.

The fact that you will most likely be very confronted by what I write about here is absolutely not an issue for me. I have been there myself. I was very confronted years ago when I begin to investigate and eventually understand this drinking water fluoride fraud scenario. Then I got to experience the disappointment and frustration in dealing with a dental profession that has so arrogantly placed itself on a pedestal while having its head stuck in the sand.

I survived going thru my “education beyond the usual level” and so will you. Sometimes the truth hurts… Being confronted and resistant is just part of the scenario associated with change. You will survive as long as you don’t perjure yourself in front of an educated public you are supposed to be protecting.
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Attorneys and others have told me that I am in for big trouble for what I am doing here. I don’t doubt that! I am not naïve to the hornets’ nest that I am stirring up here and my skin is not real thick. I do know what I am up against in presenting all of this to you Dental Board Members. For example, in the July, 2010, Journal of the American Dental Association there is a section titled PERSPECTIVES where Dr. T.W. Gamba writes an article titled Ethical Moment. He brings up the question “What is my ethical responsibility toward patients who refuse to be treated with materials containing fluoride”?

In this article Dr. Gamba states “I practice in a community without water fluoridation. I am diligent in educating my patients and their families about the benefits of fluoride supplements, fluoride varnish and fluoride toothpaste. While most of my patients understand and accept the benefits of fluoride, a few patients think that fluoride is detrimental and refuse it in any form for themselves and their children.”

Dr. Gamba goes on to write that “The oral health benefits of fluoride have been well known to the dental community for decades. Although there is no valid scientific evidence that properly administered fluoride can be detrimental, some patients maintain that fluoride may be harmful.”
Someone needs to tell Dr. Gamba that if he wants “valid scientific evidence” that fluoride can be detrimental, then he needs dive into the archives of the ADA as well as study what I am presenting here to all of you Board Members. And, maybe Dr. Gamba needs to consider the fact that there is absolutely no “valid scientific evidence” that fluoride can be “safe, beneficial and of value.”

Dr. Gamba goes on to explain that a dentist has a duty to “inform the patient” about proposed treatment, reasonable alternatives and should do “your best to explain the benefits of fluoride and convey your complete confidence that no harm will be done.”

Dr. Gamba continues to explain his various ethics arguments regarding his dilemma about the dentists obligation to attempt to convince the public that fluoride is “safe, beneficial and of value.”

He then writes that “Perhaps the clearest directive of the ADA Code that applies to your dilemma is found in Section 5, Principle: Veracity (“truthfulness”), which states the following:

“This principle expresses the concept that professionals have a duty to be honest and trustworthy in their dealings with people. Under this principle, the dentist’s primary obligation include respecting the position of trust inherent in the dentist-patient relationship communicating truthfully and without deception, and maintaining intellectual integrity.”

I fully agree with that ADA Code directive that dentist have a “duty to be honest and trustworthy in their dealings with people.” Take a look at those last few words; “maintaining intellectual integrity.” For a dentist to intentionally ignore very valid scientifically done fluoride studies that well document the anti-water-fluoridation position is NOT “maintaining intellectual integrity!”

At first one could just simply claim naivety for supporting drinking water fluoridation on to the dental school brainwashing scenario. But once “educated beyond the usual level” the only reason to continue to deny the valid anti-fluoride science would be Arrogance and Lip Service and fear of the ADA backlash.

So here is Thomas W Gamba, DDS, who happens to be a member of the American Dental Association Council of Ethics, Bylaws and Judicial Affairs very well explaining how the ADA views the ethics regarding fluorides. Yes, I know fully well what I am up against. It can be summarized as follows:

Tell a lie long enough and it becomes the truth…

The ADA viciously hold its position that all of us opposed to drinking water fluoridation are wrong and the ADA is right…Unfortunately they have got it backwards, they just don’t acknowledge it due to reasons I have already explained.

Dr. Gamba ends his article by writing: “It can be frustrating when patients do not understand fully or appreciate the benefits of a well-studied and scientifically proven
treatment such as fluoridation. Nonetheless, patients have the right to accept, defer or
decline any part of our treatment recommendations. However, as stated earlier, they also
have the responsibility to accept the consequences of their decisions. Our code of ethics
upholds that right as fundamental, regardless of how sound the evidence for our
recommended treatment may be.”

Yes, Dr. Gamba, I fully understand your “frustration” as it is extremely frustrating for me
when dentists “do not appreciate the benefits of well-studied and scientifically proven
studies” that fully document the dentists brainwashing by the ADA, Corporate Chemical
America and all dental schools. You dogma spewing, mantra chanting, fluoride
mongering dentist are just going to “have the responsibility to accept the consequences of
your decision” if you choose to just keep your head stuck wherever you got it stuck!

So yes, I know exactly what I am up against here. It used to be thought that the universe
rotated around planet earth. I believe it was Galileo who was imprisoned for (accurately)
claiming that planet earth rotated around the sun. The “establishment” got him as I
anticipate the “establishment” will be out to get me since the accurate fluoride science is
not on the “establishments” side. Eventually the “establishment” got that they were
wrong and Galileo was right.

I know that the USPHS, ADA and the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry have very good
Departments of Deception and Retaliation and unlimited funds to come after little guys
such as me. However, the more you use any of these tactics, the more it will prove me to
be correct as it will further document that you are denying the valid antifluoridation
science and avoiding getting “educated beyond the usual level” as required by the ethics
regulations you wrote.

Anytime you Dental Board Members deny the valid antifluoride science you
will be painting yourselves further into a corner.

Until the American Dental Association “communicates truthfully and without deception
and maintains intellectual integrity” I am sorry to tell you fluoride mongering dentists
that you are clearly not Gods gift to humanity…

Next time you dentists are sitting in your house of worship begging to
get into Heaven, what are you going to do when your God explains to
you that since you are personally responsible for the chronic and
insidious poisoning of millions of Human Beings that you are only going
to be given a nonrefundable one way ticket to Hell.
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If the ADA and your dental school had taught you dentists that the earth is flat then you
would be chanting the flat earth mantra and making wrong anyone who disagreed with
you. If, in dental school you were then given a test and ask if the earth was flat or round, you would have to check the flat earth box, even if you knew the earth was round, or you would fail the test and not graduate from dental school. Then, when someone finally was able to prove to you that the earth was indeed round, then, no matter how confronting it might be for you, you would just have to accept the fact that your dental school has miseducated you and that truthful science actually shows the earth is round, not flat…like it or not.

The same applies to this fluoridated drinking water scenario. In dental school you were taught (dogmatized, brainwashed, conditioned) that consuming fluoridated drinking water is somehow connected to “lowered tooth decay rates” and is “safe beneficial and of value”. You were like Pavlov’s dog…when the bell rings, when tested, you had better salivate and agree with that answer…even if you knew that was not true… or you will not graduate from dental school. That same scenario is still going on in dentistry.

Your job is to truly protect the public and not just give lip service to your responsibilities even if the accurate science confronts you and goes against your formal dental education beliefs. You really need to understand that since I know the USPHS, ADA and Corporate America will viciously spout their blather in their attempt to convince you the earth is flat…and that consuming fluoridated drinking water is “safe, beneficial and of value.”
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As required by the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry and by the ADA, in fulfilling the professional and ethical obligation to “keep my knowledge current” and to “educate myself beyond the usual level” and to “make the results…of my efforts available to all when they are useful in safeguarding or promoting the health of the public” I will now continue…

For the past twenty-five years I have very carefully studied this issue of the fluoridation of the public’s drinking water supply. When I first started my studies I was awed by what I was learning. I was also becoming extremely disappointed in my profession. For many years now my findings have firmly convinced me that the USPHS, ADA and Corporate America have successfully misled, misinformed, tricked, duped, snookered, hornswormed, bamboozled, deceived, hoodwinked and lied to not only the unprotected public, but to all of us, including the dentists, about the facts surrounding the “safety, benefits and values” of drinking water fluoridation.

Many years ago I realized that the original decision to artificially fluoridate the public’s drinking water supply was based on intentionally manipulated “classical fluoride studies” that were merely sculptured and scripted studies with premeditated conclusions to be reached and none of these studies were done following even a smidgen of the protocol of the Scientific Method that all of us dentists learned about in basic high school science classes.
Having dealt with dentists for the last 40 plus years I know that the vast majority of dentists, as a result of their gullibility and naivety, do viciously support the artificial fluoridation of the publics drinking water and these fluoride mongering dentist are extremely righteous, arrogant and very resistant to looking at any information that goes contrary to the gospel teachings of their dental school or the USPHS and the ADA. They just don’t want to be bothered with the truthful science as it’s too uncomfortable.

However, it is now time for the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry and the rest of you fluoride mongering dentist to wake up and honor your already promised ethics, and finally become accurately educated…even if you don’t like it.

**Remember, the number one job of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry is to protect the public and to obey the golden rule…even if it is confronting and uncomfortable and you dislike having to play by the rules you made.**

And, bottom line is that you don’t get a choice about honoring your ethics, you have already promised to do so…and if you refuse to, you might want to consider that your license to practice dentistry just might be on the line as a knowledgeable public might not like it that you dentists Board Members are intentionally not protecting them.

Instead of my recreating the entire story regarding this fluoride fraud and deception here in this letter, I will refer you first to an educational web site [www.DentalConfessions.com](http://www.DentalConfessions.com) where you can click onto both of the Fluoride Fraud PDF’s to download them. I would suggest you read those PDF’s in there entirety as these could be used as evidence against you if there is an ethics complaint filed against you by the “public.” You will notice that I have included a paper copy of this Fluoride Fraud web site PDF in case you don’t have internet accessibility.

Next I refer you to the book that I have also included here titled The Fluoride Deception by Christopher Bryson. This book will give some information that is similar to what’s on the DentalConfessions.com web site but this book will also give more detail and documentation that will show all you Board Members how you have been successfully mislead, misinformed, tricked, duped, snookered, hornswoogled, bamboozled, deceived, hoodwinked and lied to by the ADA, USPHS and Corporate Chemical America.

In case you doubt the validity of any of this documentation I am giving to you just notice the references listed in these documents and feel free to acquire and study any of the referenced material in any detail you want. Also understand that this is not all of the documentation that exists regarding this fluoride fraud, there a tons of other scientific documentation I can give or refer you to if you desire additional valid science.

**It is the dentists who have given credibility to drinking water fluoridation and it is the dentists who need to clean up this drinking water fluoridation fraud. That “cleaning up” is what may someday give the dentists honest credibility instead of pseudo credibility!**
Again, understand that the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride exposure from fluoridated drinking water is mottled enamel or fluorosis. Start taking a close look at all of those children in your dental practices. In fluoridated communities, the children who have grown up drinking the fluoridated water will all have some degree of fluorosis.

When dental fluorosis is present that means the child has been chronically poisoned by fluoride and there is also fluorosis of the skeleton that’s just not visible. There is also cellular metabolic interference in all tissue cells of the body due to fluorides toxic effects on cellular enzymes from the ingested fluorine. Dental fluorosis also documents the accumulation of the toxic fluoride in the soft tissues and organs of the body such as kidney, thyroid, brain, heart and liver…all tissues.

Look at the teeth of your own children and grandchildren. Notice the fluorosis…know that they are being chronically poisoned by fluoride. How do you like it knowing that you Dental Board members are responsible for the poisoning of your own children and grandchildren as well as all the “public” children living in Oklahoma? And this fluoride poisoning goes on throughout the individual’s life time…childhood, adulthood, elderly…on and on and on…And then there is the autism like symptom link…my,my,my…

You Board Members are supposed to be protecting the public…NOT contributing to their chronic poisoning!

You “public members” of the Dental Board…how do you like it knowing that those dentists you serve with on this Board are responsible for the chronic poisoning of your children and grandchildren, your nieces and nephews …and you? Get those dentists on this Board to look you in the eye and honestly deny this!

In The Fluoride Deception book you will also read that “the Greater Boston chapter of Physicians for Social Responsibility described how 12 million children (17 per cent) in the United States “suffer from one or more learning, developmental, or behavioral disabilities” and that “attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) affect 3 to 6 percent of all school-children.” Again, go to page 18 of the The Fluoride Deception book you will find where researchers back in 1990 at the world famous Forsyth Dental Center using animal studies, linked fluoride ingestion to “significant behavioral changes” which resembled “cognitive deficits” and “hyperactivity.” Autism like symptoms!!!

Again, when these autism like symptoms from the consumption of fluoridated drinking water were reported to the National Institutes of Health (NIH), instead of the NIH making public these studies showing fluorides link to ADHD and autism like symptoms the NIH just acted like these studies don’t exist and just puts them on a shelf to collect dust. How ethical is that? How humane is that? How inhumane is that?
How will the Oklahoma Dental Board behave? Is the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry going to also just overlook all of this data I have given to you here as well as the connection to ADHD and autism like symptoms and just violate their own professional ethics and go along with the NIH and ADA’s greed and just put all this on a shelf to collect dust and deny its existence?

This Fluoride Deception book will also give you information of other scientifically done studies that will confirm that consuming fluoridated drinking water will cause these autism like symptoms in other animal studies.

Again, how many of you Dental Board members have those precious little children or grandchildren or nieces or nephews who have ADHD or autism symptoms? How do you feel about the fact that in animal studies fluoridated drinking water has been shown to be connected to those same symptoms that your loving children experience and then those studies were shelved because they didn’t look good for the fertilizer and aluminum Corporate America producers of these waste fluoride solutions that are being added to the publics drinking water under the guise of having something to do with tooth decay rates.

Neither you dentist or you nondentist Board members can just blow off what I am writing about here…this fluoride fraud effects you and your children personally. You personally, and your children, are also victims of the drinking water fluoridation fraud that is supported by the ADA and by each of you. Each of you needs to learn what you are imposing on yourself and your loved ones as well as on the unsuspecting public. You nondentist members of the Board aren’t immune from responsibility here…you have already agreed to ethics promises and you can’t just get into denial and join those fluoride mongering dentist who have their head stuck in the sand.
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You non-dental Board Members need to be very careful listening to those dentists who are Board members. They have been grossly misled by the dental educational process, Corporate America, the USPHS and the ADA. Those dentist Board Members know virtually nothing at all about the chemistry and physiology of consuming fluoridated drinking water.

If you need proof…just ask those dentist on the Board to explain to you the biochemistry and physiology processes that cause dental fluorosis. I bet you a glass of fluoridated drinking water they won’t know…and they are supposed to be the fluoride “experts.”
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Regarding fluoridated drinking water, the least knowledgeable individuals on the planet are the dentists. The dentists are merely puppets of the USPHS and the ADA are just chanting the profluoride mantras and dogma they have been brainwashed to chant.
You Board Members don’t have a choice here; you’re already made ethical promises demand that you read the enclosed Fluoride Deception book and to read the PDF on the web site I have referred you to. If you’re already made ethics aren’t enough to motivate you to “educate your self beyond the usual level” then you might to study this scientific information so you will know what you have to defend yourself against as all of this and more will be used against you to support any ethics complaint filed against you.

You Board Members have a fiduciary responsibility here and violating that fiducial trust would be malfeasance.

If any of you instead choose to ignore the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry’s ethics statutes and continue to be beholden to the USPHS and the ADA and continue to support the addition of the toxic fluoride chemical to the public’s drinking water then you could open yourself up to criminal abrogation charges or other disciplinary action by the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry and the Oklahoma Ethics Commission for ethical violations…and then there is always the possibility of civil suits.

I am quite confident that once you read the enclosed documentation you will definitely have a considerable amount of reasonable doubt as to the “safety and benefits” of consuming fluoridated drinking water.

I am now demanding, based on my “investigative efforts,” that ALL members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry IMMEDIATELY call for a halt to adding the toxic chemical fluoride to the public drinking water supply.

I know that the Oklahoma Dental Board probably does not have jurisdiction to order a halt to water fluoridation but you can definitely make a public statement telling those who do have the authority that you are now awake and you are calling for a halt to the continued addition of the toxic chemical fluoride to the public drinking water supplies in Oklahoma.

I want you to notice that this information I am giving you here is not just something from me to you Board Members. There are many members of the “public” you are sworn to protect who are extremely knowledgeable about this drinking water fluoridation fraud and they are watching the actions of the Oklahoma Dental Board. These members of the “public” are quite aware that the least knowledgeable individual on the subject of water fluoridation are the dentists and they really-really want to support ALL dentists in FINALLY getting your drinking water fluoride education deficiency eliminated.

I have many patients that come to me from other States and your duty to “protect the public” also extends to them I know that some of the knowledgeable “public” don’t live
in Oklahoma but that’s not a factor as I don’t think the Dental Boards responsibility to protect the public stops at the border.

I know the “public” is watching to see if you Board members are going to truly honor your ethics or are you just going to continue to kiss up to the ADA, the USPHS and Corporate America and just continue to climb into bed with them and just give lip service to your already promised professional ethics?.

The way I see it, once you read the data I have included here you should be able to realize that your previous understanding of the fluoridated drinking water issue was based on false science and a good dose of fluoride mongering brainwashing by your respective dental schools via orders from the ADA, USPHS and Corporate Chemical America.

I fully understand that what I am writing here will most likely upset you Board Members to some degree. It is normal to be confronted when you are having your nose rubbed in change. I understand the scenario of change as I also experienced confrontation when I came up against change…and this is just the way it will be as you get accurately educated.

To be ethical you Board Members will have to go against the “establishment” that you now are yourselves a part of.

I know the dental profession spends a lot of time and energy putting itself up on a pedestal attempting to come across as the heroes. However, its time to climb down off that pedestal and clean up this fluoride fraud and then you can strive to earn the privilege of having a thankful public putting you on that pedestal. When you choose to recommend the end of drinking water fluoridation then the public will most likely put you on a pedestal and praise you and call you a hero for being ethical regarding ending of this drinking water fluoridation fraud. You will probably get over your confrontation and attitude then. It will be better when the public puts dentist on a pedestal and the dentist becomes a true hero instead of the dentists putting themselves on the pedestal thus creating a pseudohero.
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I want to encourage you to read all the data I have included here. That is, the fluoride PDF from the web site www.DentalConfessions.com and the book titled The Fluoride Deception. I also encourage you to study any profluoride data you might get from the USPHS or the ADA or anyone else. I would also appreciate it if you would send me any profluoride data that you get from any source so I can evaluate it. I know that it will take time to study this and then I expect you want some think time and maybe some time to go to your house of worship and pray for forgiveness for you’re supporting of this chronic poisoning of millions and millions of people. I will give you time to study this and to think and pray for forgiveness, but I don’t want foot dragging.
I and others will be expecting a personal reply from EACH of you Board Members so we can determine if additional ethics complaints need to be filed.

I know that when you have studied this you will be faced with one of two choices. You can recommend that the fluoridation of the public drinking water be ended and be in compliance with your already made ethics requirements of the State Dental Act of Oklahoma and the ADA. Or, you can deny the valid science and just continue with your profluoride blathering and continue to recommend the addition of the toxic fluoride chemicals to the public’s drinking water.
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There are two laws taught by all religions: do all you have agreed to do, which is the basis of contract law, and, do not encroach on other persons or their property, which is the basis of tort law and some criminal law.

Your dental license is the contract that you accept your ethics responsibility and the addition of the toxin fluoride to the public’s drinking water is the encroachment on the “publics” body (property).

If you Board Members fail to recommend the ending of drinking water fluoridation you will be in violation of contract law, tort and criminal law. In addition, you could face numerous civil lawsuits as well as the loss of your dental license! Do you really want to keep your head stuck in the sand and risk all of this?
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I know that when the USPHS, ADA and Corporate Chemical America gets wind of this they will come unglued and they will look like a swarm of locust coming thru the Dental Boards backdoor as the Oklahoma Dental Board is suddenly invaded with the USPHS’s, ADA’s, and Corporate Chemical America’s “fluoride experts.” Also in that swarm will be all of those aluminum and fertilizer corporate lobbyist who will also be swarming thru your back door to wine and dine all of you to convince you Board Members to not honor your already promised ethics and they will all viciously pressure all of you to continue to go along with the USPHS’s, ADA’s and Corporate Chemical America’s long standing drinking water fluoride fraud.

When that swarm of “fluoride experts” comes thru your back door I want you to notice one thing in particular. That is, their lack of sponsor logos on their jackets. NASCAR teams have their sponsor’s logos on everything; cars, driving suits, helmets, equipment…everything. When we watch a NASCAR race it is very clear who the teams are beholden to. It’s not quite so obvious with these fluoride mongering “fluoride experts.” However, I know that the USPHS and the ADA are extremely beholden to Corporate Chemical America and others who are never acknowledged as the intent is to keep these promiscuous relationships behind the smokescreen. If you doubt that the ADA is beholden to Corporate America, investigate the financial support of the ADA. The
dues from the member dentist provide the minority of the financial support of the ADA. Guess where the major financial support comes from? So if the UPSHS and ADA fluoride mongering “fluoride experts” were really honest they would wear their corporate sponsors logos on their locust jackets. Dentists are required by professional ethics to be beholden ONLY to the public, not the UPSHS, ADA or Corporate Chemical America. Tell me, Board Members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry, whose logos are on your jackets? Are you sporting the logos of the UPSHS, ADA and Corporate Chemical America…or are you sporting only the logo of the “PUBLIC?” Be honest now!!!
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Corporate Chemical America, the UPSHS and the ADA will see to it that you Board Member are reminded to continue to chant those profluoride mantras in hopes they can continue to con you Board Members and the public into believing the UPSHS, ADA and Corporate Chemical America have valid science to support their position to continue the addition of toxic fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water. Since all they have is pseudoscience they will most likely encourage you to deflect the attention off the science on into character assassinations.

None of these “trusted” agencies have any valid science to present…only premeditated, sculptured and scripted “studies” that are not based on anything even close to “scientific.” When you read the attached Fluoride Fraud PDF’s you will see that many years ago there was a $100,000.00 reward offered if the UPSHS or the ADA or anyone else could find just one scientifically done study that proved fluoridated drinking water to be “safe, beneficial and of value” as claimed. Since no such study has ever existed, after 60 some years that reward has never been claimed. This offer was around for so long that most of those conscious and awake individuals who originally offered that reward are no longer with us.

All any of you Board Members have to do is come up with just one scientifically done study that proves that dripping toxic fluoride chemicals into the drinking water is “safe, beneficial and of value” and you will accomplish something that has never been accomplished before.

Once you “educate yourselves beyond the usual level” and you then recommend ending of the addition of the toxic chemical fluoride to the public drinking water you will finally be in compliance with the Ethics of the Oklahoma Dental Board and the ADA. But…to behave ethically you will have to subject yourselves to a considerable amount of viciousness from the ADA, UPSHS, Corporate Chemical America and the aluminum and fertilizer cartel.

When you call for the end of drinking water fluoridation you will also be subjecting yourselves to denigrating comments from all of the above listed fluoride mongers and from other fluoride mongering educationally deficient dentist. They will be talking about you like you are now talking about me.
Quite frankly I don’t think you Board Members have the gonads to stand up against the USPSH or the ADA in calling for the ending of adding the toxin fluoride to the public drinking water and I think you will just continue go along with this deception. I think you will just continue to be arrogant, self-righteous and just plain chicken…cluck…cluck…cluck…
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One of my goals in this communication is to paint you Board Members into a corner so that you don’t have a backdoor or a loophole to escape thru so that you have only one choice to successfully escape with your dental license intact. That is, you will have no way out other than to “educate yourselves beyond the usual level” and finally learn the truth about this fluoride fraud and then make the “results and benefits of your investigative efforts available to all when they are useful in safeguarding…the health of the public” and then call for the ending of adding the toxic fluoride chemical to the public drinking water supplies.

I do know that even if cornered you can still chant the ADA’s fluoride mongering dogma and mantras and just continue to support this fluoride fraud. Since an educated public will be closely watching for your decision I hope you don’t just do the typical knee jerk reaction and deny the extremely valid antifluoride science and instead just choose to continue to support the addition of toxic fluoride chemicals into the publics drinking water supply.

I know you can read all of this information and keep your head stuck in the sand and still deny the very large amount of valid science that exists that very well documents the antifluoride position and instead just fall back on all the lame arguments the USPHS and ADA has used to claim that my evidence is old or outdated or not valid, or flawed, or just a smoke and mirrors phenomenon and you will still deny valid science and continue to refuse to abide by your already promised ethics.

I know that the USPHS, the ADA and you Board Members don’t have any valid science to present that supports drinking water fluoridation and you Board Members are just taught by the ADA to chant rehearsed mantras in a way that tries to make the antifluoridation science bad and wrong.

You are not going to get by with just chanting those ADA profluoride mantras here. I expect you will find it in your best interest to read the evidence myself and others have presented here that will support the ethics complaints filed against you. You will need to study this information in great detail so you can learn how to defend yourselves against any complaints that may be filed against you.
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I know that Corporate Chemical America, the USHPS and the ADA try to find you’re backdoor to swarm thru in their attempt to get you to continue with your support of this fluoride fraud. I want all of you to just keep that backdoor closed and just explain to Corporate Chemical America, the USPHS and the ADA and all of their fluoride mongering lobbyist that their data is not true science but instead is just premeditated, sculptured and scripted “science” and only qualifies as being bovine excrement. Then tell the USPHS and the ADA to just get lost until they can come back and begin to tell the truth about the adverse health effects from ingesting water fluoridation chemicals as they did prior to the 1940’s

$$$$$$

Webster’s Dictionary Definitions:

Arrogant: 1. making unwarrantable claims or pretensions to superior importance or rights; overbearingly assuming; insolently proud: an arrogant little man. 2. Characterized by or proceeding from arrogance: arrogant claims.

Arrogance: arrogant quality or nature; offensive exhibition of assumed or real superiority; overbearing pride.

Self-righteous: confident of one’s own righteousness, esp., when smugly moralistic and intolerant of the opinions and behavior of others.

End of definitions…

My experience in dealing with organized dentistry over the years is that arrogance and self-righteousness are, without question, heavily ingrained in the entire dental educational process as it cranks out dentist who don’t know squat about fluoridated drinking water but are held out as being “fluoride experts” and these dentists arrogantly believe this illusion that they are actually some kind of “fluoride experts.”

Before all of you dentist get on it with me and accuse me of making fluoride mongering dentists wrong for displaying arrogance and self-righteousness…Like Webster’s, I am not putting any judgment into the definition of arrogance or self-righteous. If you hear judgment it is only in your listening…not my speaking!

$$$$$$

What I pray for here is that you Board Members will finally realize that the scientifically valid anti-fluoride science is correct here and that the dental educational system has indeed duped, hoodwinked, snookered, bamboozled and tricked the individual practicing dentist and most of the public into believing that consuming toxic fluoridated drinking water somehow “reduced tooth decay rates” and is “safe beneficial and of value.”
It would be in your best interest to study all the information I am giving you here as well as any of the massive amount of scientific data that is available that fully documents what I write here since all of this and more will be used against each of you if it is necessary to file an ethics complaint against each of you due to your failure to honor your already promised ethics requirements.

Then, once you are “educated beyond the usual level” you need to go public as your professional ethics require and announce your enlightenment. Maybe each of you should stand before the public you are sworn to protect, hang your head, shuffle your feet, clear your throat and acknowledge your gullibility and naivety and explain to the public why you are now recommending the ending of the fluoridation of the public drinking water. Then you should turn towards the American Dental Association, USPHS, EPA and FDA and tell them just where they can shove this fraud they have perpetrated on each of you, the dental profession in general and the public.

$\$\$\$$

Webster’s Dictionary definitions:

**NAÏVE**: 1. having or showing natural simplicity of nature; unsophisticated; ingenuous.
2. having or showing a lack of experience, judgment, or information; credulous: *She’s so naïve she believes anything he tells her.*

**GULLIBLE**: easily deceived or cheated.

End of definitions.

So the naïve and gullible dental student believes anything they were taught in the ADA accredited dental school they attended. And, that naïve and gullible dental student eventually graduates and continues to be the ADA’s parroting puppet still controlled by the puppet masters strings.

Prior to studying the information I am supplying you with here, you could plead gullibility and naivety for your support of adding the toxin fluoride to the public drinking water. However, once you read all of this data and get “educated beyond the usual level” there is absolutely no way you can plead naïvety ever again…unless you just fall into the realm called “When No One Is as Blind as Someone Who Doesn’t Want to See.”

Again, I am quite sure that Corporate America, USPHS and the ADA swarm of locusts coming thru the Oklahoma Dental Boards backdoor with their logo deficient locust jackets on and will want to wine and dine all of you and will probably treat you to a round of golf or take you on a trout fishing junket in their attempt to get you to fall back on your gullibility, naivety, arrogance and self-righteousness and they will demand that you Board Members continue recommending the addition of the toxin fluoride to the public drinking water supplies.
However, I know that to become a Board Member of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry the State of Oklahoma requires you to take an “Oath of Office” which states that as a Board Member of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry you “do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support, obey, and defend the Constitution of the United States, and the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma, and that I will not, knowingly, receive, directly or indirectly, any money or other valuable thing, for the performance or nonperformance of any act or duty pertaining to my office, other than the compensation allowed by law: I further swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully discharge my duties as to the best of my ability.”

You Board Members all had to sign that “Oath of Office” to be sworn in as a Board Member. Don’t just give lip-service to your oath! Your fiduciary duty is to the “public” and not to the ADA, USPHS or Chemical Corporate America. Violate that fiduciary duty to the public and you could face litigation…

So be very careful that you do not accept that round of golf or that trout fishing junket from the ADA or other fluoride mongers. Also be careful as the ADA fluoride mongers twist your arm in their attempt to convince you to continue with this drinking water fraud as they my twist your arm so firm as to cause an injury and you cannot allow them to pay for your treatment as a result of that arm twisting injury.

I know it will be very tempting for you Board Members to continue to go along with the USPHS and the ADA in perpetrating this fraud…except you can’t plead naivety or gullibility now as you will now be going along with this fluoride fraud knowingly…and the public you are supposed to be protecting will know it!!! If you do choose to ignore the valid science and just go along praising the ADA fluoride mongers that would make you eligible to have additional ethics complaint filed against you with the Oklahoma Dental Board as you would further be in violation of the ethics of the State Dental Act of Oklahoma. That would put your dental license at risk!

Since you will be getting accurately educated in this process of defending yourselves against ethics charges I don’t think you can use naivety or gullibility as your excuses to continue to allow the chronic poisoning of the public via the addition of the toxic chemical fluoride to the public drinking water supplies.

$$$$$$

Backdoors…Loopholes…

I fully realize that even when you do finally get your fluoridated drinking water education accurate and to the level of “beyond the usual level” that still does not mean that you dentists will behave ethically and you can still continue to voice your allegiance to the ADA and not to the public and you can just continue to make excuses for not protecting the “public health, safety and general welfare in the public’s best interest.” Nor does it mean that you will fulfil your “obligation to communicate that information to the broader
We shouldn’t Air Dentistry’s Dirty Laundry…

I hear this often whenever I discuss this with dentists. They don’t want this fluoride fraud “dirty laundry” to be discussed, especially with the “public.” My reply is why does dentistry have to have “dirty laundry?” Hasn’t dentistry ever heard of washing their “dirty laundry” so it is clean? Dentistry has this drinking water fluoridation “dirty laundry” because many years ago the cunning and greedy aluminum and fertilizer corporations made it clear to the greedy hierarchy at the USPHS and the ADA that they had best go along with this fluoride fraud. And this fraud has just gone on and on and on.

Hitler and his Minister of Propaganda, Joseph Goebbels, were fond of saying, “tell a lie, tell it often enough, and the people will believe it.”

$$$$$$

Claiming the antifluoride science is not valid…

You will not be able to use the excuse that the antifluoride science is not valid. I know that you dentist have the ability to read and understand science. That ability was fully documented even before you entered dental school. If you will recall, all of you had to take a Dental Aptitude Test to even apply to dental school. Part of that test was a “measure of reading comprehension for reading and understanding scientific information.” If you hadn’t passed that part of the ADA’s Dental Aptitude Test you would not have been accepted to any dental school. The ADA has already documented that you can read science and comprehend it. The ADA has also documented your naivety and gullibility.

So you can’t play dumb here. I cannot imagine that any of you Board Members would fail to read the information I have supplied here as it is some of the evidence that could be used to support any ethics violation claim that might be filed against each of you. When you read this information you will then be able to understand that the antifluoridation science is totally valid and the scripted and sculptured profluoridation information put out by Corporate America, the USPHS and the ADA is not the least bit scientifically valid. At that time you will just need to explain to the ADA that their “science” is merely bovine excrement. Remember that for many years there was a reward of $ 100,000.00 to anyone who was able to produce just one scientific study that scientifically documented fluoridated drinking water to be “safe, beneficial and of value”. Since no such study has EVER existed that reward went unclaimed.

If you truly think the USPHS, EPA, FDA and the ADA are correct in their claims that they have scientifically done studies to support the addition of toxic fluoride chemicals to medical and dental community…” So I want to now focus on the backdoors and loopholes you may attempt to use to weasel out of your ethics promises in order to continue with business as usual in your fluoride mongering behavior.
the public drinking water, ask them to give you those studies so you can collect your reward.

I do know that you dentist do have that ability to read and understand valid science but could still be into denial for some reason. If this reaches the point that ethics violations are filed against any of you, you will be tested and if you fail to give scientifically accurate answers when you are tested on this information you will fail the test and subject yourselves to having your dental license revoked.

I want you to know that this letter will be placed on my web site and I will also be placing your response there. If you don’t want the public to witness your denial of the science then you really only have one choice here. That is, recommend that the addition of the toxic chemical fluoride to the public drinking water be immediately halted.

$$$$$$

Double blind peer reviewed studies

As I have worked with antifluoride groups over the years, whenever we encounter a profluoride dentist one thing these fluoride mongering dentist always throw into the space is that question “Do you have any double blind peer review studies?”

Here is how I respond to that question: I am well aware that there are numerous antifluoride studies that do meet the qualifications of the scientific method but since I have not read every antifluoride study done I don’t know if a double blind peer reviewed study exists that support the antifluoride position to oppose consuming fluoridated drinking water. If any double blind, peer reviewed study does exist I would like to be made aware of it.

I think I know why there may not be any antifluoride double blind peer reviewed studies around just by looking at that animal study done at the prestigious Forsyth Dental Institute that scientifically documented that consuming fluoridated drinking water causes autism like symptoms. The NIH quickly shut down that research and terminated the scientist and put the study on a shelf. Why, instead of hiding the truthful science, did the NIH not fund a double blind, peer reviewed study instead? Answer: They did not want to document what they already knew the test results would be. The NIH and the ADA did not want the initial study made public so why would they want to some double blind peer reviewed study done and risk it being made public.

The bottom line is this: Neither the USPHS or the ADA or any of you Board Members can find just one scientifically done study that documents consuming fluoridated drinking water “reduces tooth decay rates” or is “safe, beneficial and of value.” And you absolutely cannot come up with any double blind peer reviewed study that supports your profluoride mongering position. So not only can anyone not produce just one scientifically study that documents fluoridated drinking water to be “safe, beneficial and of value” you also cannot produce one “double blind peer reviewed” study that supports
the ADA’s profluoride mongering position. So don’t all you fluoride mongering dentist puff up and arrogantly and self-righteously ask for some double blind peer reviewed study that supports the antifluoridation position until you first come up with one that supports your fluoride mongering position.

$$$$$$

Don’t use the argument that consuming too much water can also cause adverse health effects.

There are communities all over the United States where the fluoride mongers are attempting to vote in drinking water fluoridation into their communities drinking water supply. Occasionally those individuals in those communities who are opposed to the fluoridation of the public drinking water have requested that I participated in water fluoridation debates with those fluoride mongering dentists. One argument the dentists always use is that anything in excess can cause or contribute to some kind of adverse health effect. What they usually toss out is that too much water can be unhealthy. I agree that too much water ingestion can lead to some health problems. However, that argument is totally out of context here. This is all explained in detail in the attached Fluoride Fraud PDF but for now I will say that it takes a whole lot of excess water consumption to cause any health issue. But with fluoridated drinking water the first visible sign of fluoride poisoning, fluorosed teeth, first shows up with an ingestion of 0.1 part per million (ppm) and it is recommended that drinking water be fluoridated at a concentration of 1.0 to 2.0 ppm, a level ten to twenty times greater than what is necessary for the first visible symptom of fluoride poisoning to manifest. So the visible symptoms of fluoride poisoning are evident at the current concentration as found in the public drinking water supply. Again, this is explained in more detail in the Fluoride Fraud PDF. The point here is for you dentist is to not use this out of context “excess water” argument in your attempt to have it appear that fluoridated drinking water is “safe, beneficial and of value” because you will quickly lose that argument.

$$$$$$

Don’t Claim that animal studies aren’t valid and don’t relate to effects on humans.

It’s a well known fact that animal studies are used in pharmaceutical research as well as chemical toxicity studies in universities and industry research facilities all across the US. There are all kinds of medications and chemicals that have their human safety or hazards or side effects fully documented by animal research studies. If you attempt to denigrate animal studies as they apply to humans I would suggest you get out your Physicians Desk Reference (PDR) and read about all the animal studies that are done routinely in drug testing. If any of you attempt to deny that there is a human connection between fluoridated drinking water ingestion and autism like symptoms based on animal studies
then you will only be demonstrating desperation in your attempt to kiss up to the ADA as all good fluoride mongers should do

You won’t be able to have it both ways…you cannot praise animal studies in one area and denigrate them in other areas. The only way you can invalidate Dr. Mullenix’s animal studies is to exactly duplicate the conditions of the study and reach a different conclusion. At that point the study would have to be repeated to again determine consistency with the findings.

So for your fluoride mongers to claim that animal studies are not a valid comparison to Human studies is simply arrogance and self-righteousness and simply a desperate attempt on the part of fluoride mongering dentist to continue this fraud.

$$$$$$

Don’t Claim that the ADA Ethics Don’t Apply to you…

You could attempt to claim that the ADA Code of Ethics don’t apply to Dental Board Members or to the dentist or hygienist licensed in Oklahoma! But then why does the Oklahoma State Dental Act say that to be licensed as a dentist in Oklahoma the dentist “must have graduated from a school approved by the ADA and they must follow the guidelines of the ADA.”

Actually I cannot think of any reason that you would want to claim that the ADA ethics don’t apply to you Board Members since the ADA requirements definitely intertwined with the State Dental Act of Oklahoma. You will look rather foolish in the eye of the public if you attempt to discount the ADA’s professional ethics involved here.

$$$$$$

Character assassinations or an attempt to denigrate, Discredit or Silkwood anyone
Opposed to drinking water fluoridation…

Since none of this is about me, there is no reason to take this approach. I am just the messenger here. And I am not the only messenger here; there is a long line of knowledgeable “public” with the same message. I know you probably look at me like I am just some pipsqueak little dentist out there barking at your heals and you Board Members have some illusion that you are the high and mighty Dental Board members and I should be shaking in my boots at all your illusionary power. That could all be true but that does not relieve you of your professional ethics responsibility!

I know that all of you dentist Board Members have been well indoctrinated with the profluoride dogma of the USPHS, ADA and your respective dental school and you have
been thoroughly programmed with various mantras to chant to or about anyone who presents a antifluoride position and you are viciously resistant to honestly studying the accurate science. I know dentists have swallowed the ADA’s pro water fluoridation dogma hook, line and sinker.

I know that the USPHS, or the ADA or the profluoride mongering dentist, in their character assassination mode, will look around for a mole hill to make a mountain out of and if they can’t find a molehill they will dive into their bag of dirty tricks in order to try to create one. They do all this to damage reputations simply because they don’t have any valid science to argue against the truthful antifluoride science so they have to attempt to divert the attention to something else. You dentist Board Members, as a result of your dental school mantra chanting dogma brainwashing, will probably find it easier to resort to character and reputation assassinations than to “educate yourself beyond the usual level” to learn how you have been seriously duped and lied to by the ADA.

However, your professional ethics already made promises don’t instruct you to do character assassinations. Your ethics clearly state that your job is to “educate yourselves beyond the usual level and to make the results and benefits of your investigative efforts available to all when they are useful in safeguarding or promoting the health of the public” and to practice the Golden Rule and to “protect the public.”

There is absolutely nothing in the State Dental Act of Oklahoma or the ADA Code of Ethics that says you are supposed to defend or protect your dental school that is responsible for your fluoridated drinking water educational brainwashing. Nor is your job to protect Corporate Chemical America, or the USPHS, or the ADA or any dentist. Your only responsibility is to “protect the public.” You can call me or any other person opposed to fluoridation of the drinking water any name you want to but that will just be more evidence of your attempt throw up a smoke screen in order to hide from the valid science.

Us dentist were easy prey in dental school as it was virtually mandatory that we had to believe anything and everything we were taught and then prove it by checking the “right” answer on the test. I fully understand why each of you has viciously supported the addition of toxic fluoride chemical to the public’s drinking water as it’s in your blood; it’s in your genes...I just hope your soul hasn’t been infected or sold! For you to oppose the addition of toxic fluoride solutions to the public drinking water will put you in a position of being treated like you now treat me and others who are opposed to drinking water fluoridation. I have fulfilled my ethical obligation to educate myself “beyond the usual level” and to “make the results of my investigative efforts known to the medical and dental community.” Now, you Dental Board members…it’s your turn...remember, you made the rules...now Be them...

If you doubt the data I have given you here then I encourage you to read all the references listed in my PDF and in Bryce’s Fluoride Deception book. I challenge you to challenge the valid anitfluoridation science. I also challenge you to finally read the USPHS’s and ADA’s “classical” profluoride “science” and then tell me with a straight face that the
USPHS and ADA have supplied you with studies done following the Scientific Method. I am quite confident that once you wake up you will find the USPHS’s and ADA’s science to merely be premeditated, scripted and sculptured science... you know; Bovine Excrement! 

$$$$$$

Using the “If we stop water fluoridation the tooth decay rates will surge…” argument.

If you think that tooth decay rates will increase if drinking water fluoridation is halted then that thinking just documents just how little you know about human physiology regarding fluoridated drinking water ingestion. Since fluoridated drinking water has nothing to do with lowering tooth decay rates then there will be no negative effect from ceasing water fluoridation.

No matter how much bovine excrement the ADA spews there are no scientifically done studies that show that consuming fluoridated drinking water will “reduce tooth decay rates.” In fact, on my web site that I referred you to I show a USPHS study that actually shows that fluoridated communities have a higher tooth decay rate that do the nonfluoridated communities.

Ending water fluoridation will not result in an increase in tooth decay rates since there is not a decay reduction connection in the first place.

However, since consuming fluoridated drinking water has been shown to contribute to numerous health problems other than dental and skeletal fluorosis, over time you can expect a reduction of fluoride induced adverse health effects. Chronic consumption of fluoridated drinking water results in the continual accumulation of fluoride in all tissues of the body. Prior to the ADA’s self serving about face in the early 1950’s it was well documented by the USPHS and the ADA that fluoridated drinking water contributes to diseases of the brain, heart, kidney, liver, thyroid and bone density problems and more recently a connection to autism like symptoms.

When fluoridation of the drinking water ceases...you will not notice any immediate positive pathological changes... Why? Any child currently consuming fluoridated drinking water has already had the damaging fluorosis done to the developing teeth and bones. Adults have already been accumulating the fluoride chemical in their body and the cellular damage is already done and is continuing to be done as long as fluoride ingestion continues. The distinction being how much damage has already been done and how much will be done will depend on how many years the individual(s) has been accumulating the fluoride chemical.
Since consuming fluoridated drinking water contributes to numerous health problems, as the fluoride is removed from the drinking water and people quit consuming the toxic chemical it will be a few years down the road before the fluoride caused health issues begin to subside. If by chance the “public” is taught how to detoxify the fluoride chemical out of their body tissues the fluoride caused health symptoms may subside quicker.

Eventually children and adults should experience less fluoride related health problems when they are able to grow and develop without the toxic effects of chronically ingested fluoride. Less health problems also equates to a better quality of life which means less medical bills as humans get healthier.

The only downside to removing fluoride from the public drinking water supplies will be that Aluminum and Fertilizer and Nuclear Corporate America will just have to raise their prices a little bit to cover the expense for responsibly disposing of their waste fluoride chemicals…and “responsibly” is the key word here. I cannot imagine any of them actually becoming “responsible.” This issue of “responsibly” disposing of this waste fluoride will be a major undertaking and this will probably be the next issue that has to be dealt with…as it should have already been done back in the 1940’s.

I say that the “responsible” disposal of all these industrial waste fluoride chemicals that are use to fluoridate the public drinking water will be a “major undertaking” because this problem of disposing of waste fluoride chemicals many years ago is exactly why we are in the mess we are currently in. The reason for this fluoridated drinking water fraud in the first place is that back prior to the early 1940’s Corporate Chemical America had all of these industrial waste fluoride chemicals with no use or market for them and instead of “responsibly” neutralizing and disposing of them, they chose to copulate with the USPHS and the ADA and sculpt and script “studies” with premeditated conclusions presented that allowed them to manipulate the dental profession and the public into believing the pro drinking water fluoridation mongering dogma and mantras. Then the gullible and naïve “hero” dentist began supporting the addition of these toxic waste fluoride chemicals to the public’s drinking water!

Hydraulic fracturing

I have given quite a lot of thought into what the chemical industry will do with all this unmarketable industrial waste fluoride chemicals once they won’t be able to drip them into the public drinking water sources. I think I have figured out a trick that Corporate Chemical America has already pulled out of its bag of tricks to cheaply get rid of a variety of toxic waste chemicals. Once toxic fluoride chemicals are banned from the
public drinking water, I think Corporate Chemical America will use this same trick to dispose of these toxic waste fluoride chemicals.

There is an award winning documentary movie out now titled GASLAND. In that movie it is explained how the natural gas industry is drilling wells using the popular hydraulic fracturing method. The process is used to stimulate natural gas production in tight shale formations.

Fracking requires a powerful drilling process called hydraulic fracturing using millions of gallons of chemical laden water containing hundreds of toxic chemicals that are injected under tremendous pressures into the wells in order to fracture the shale formations to release the natural gas. The recipes of those hundreds of chemicals are intentionally kept secret but we are told they are all “safe” and pose “no risk to health.” However, the chemical analyses of these chemicals show them to be an extremely toxic soup including chemicals such as toluene and cancer causing chemicals such as ethylbenzene.

Since drillers such Halliburton Company of Houston, Chesapeake Energy Corporation, and others are sleeping with those US government officials who are supposed to be protecting us, the natural gas drillers were able to purchase enough governmental officials so that these chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing are now exempt from federal oversight. Drilling companies don’t have to reveal the names or amounts of the various chemicals that are used in the drilling process. This means that these drillers can put any kind of chemical crap down these drilling sites and just claim that they are somehow necessary for fracking…all with no regulation or oversight as to what types of toxic chemical are being used.

What I have learned to do is read between the lines whenever Corporate Chemical America or some governmental protection agency tells me that something is “safe” and to look for the lie or doublespeak or wordspin…

What I am seeing is that Corporate Chemical America has a tremendous amount of waste chemicals with no market for this waste. It used to be they would get permits to drill a disposal well and they would just dump the toxic chemical waste into a deep well hole and pray, and pray, and pray, that the disposal well would not leak any of those disposed toxic chemicals into the ground water.

In time, due to stricter federal, state and local regulations for disposal wells, it got real difficult to get a permit for disposal injection wells. There was also considerable expense involved in monitoring and maintaining those disposal wells. As the amount of toxic chemical waste increased, and new disposal wells became scarcer it became necessary to find other ways to get rid of the non-marketable toxic chemical waste. So, Corporate Chemical America, via Dick Cheney, acting as George W. Bush’s puppet master, created the Clean Water Act and was able to gut existing regulations and now made the gas drilling industry exempt from all regulation and did not require them to monitor and report the ground water contamination caused by the drilling process nor did they have to monitor or report any of the chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing. As a result, these new
wells could be used to dispose of any chemical the Corporate Chemical America wanted to get rid of all without any monitoring, regulation or reporting.

The drillers are now totally exempt from any regulation as to what chemicals they can inject into the hydraulic fracturing process. All the drillers have to do is claim the chemicals are necessary for successful hydraulic fracturing. They are already injecting toxic chemicals that have nothing at all to do with fracking. Corporate Chemical America has found a way around the regulations for disposal injection wells by getting the gas drillers exempt from any regulation as to what they can inject down the fracturing well. This means they can put any toxic crap they want to down the well during the fracturing process and not have to tell anyone what it is and they are subjected to absolutely no regulation or testing.

Unfortunately, for the “public” living around these hydraulic fracturing wells, the soup of toxic chemicals used in the fracturing are now showing up in the well water and the public drinking water supplies.

So along comes the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry who finally gets “educated beyond the usual level” and “makes the results of its investigative efforts known” and finally with the greatest level of integrity calls for the end of adding toxic fluoride waste chemicals to the public drinking water.

Then this ban happens in another state and another and eventually drinking water fluoridation is a thing of the past. Now, what is Chemical Corporate America going to do with all those toxic waste fluoride chemicals?

With the exemptions the gas drillers have I would fully expect for Corporate Chemical America to grab their nightclothes and run and again jump in bed with the gas drillers and convince the drillers to find someway to manipulate or script the science to show that toxic waste fluoride chemicals are somehow necessary for fracking.

Then, since fracking has been shown to cause ground water to become contaminated with the toxic fracking chemicals, once the toxic fluoride chemicals also leach out into the ground water, when communities use that contaminated water for its drinking water source in addition to getting a soup of toxic chemicals, they will now get that toxic fluoride chemical waste and then they can now claim the water to be “naturally fluoridated.” How ironic…we quit adding fluoride but it comes back in thru the backdoor.

You fluoride mongering dentists have created a very complicated situation here…it might be easier for you to just roll over and play dead at this point!

$$$$$$
So when the fluoridation of the public drinking water supplies end, we will be back to where we were prior to the 1930’ and 40’s. Except this time **maybe** we will have **accurately educated dentists** with the gonads to refuse the continuation of this fluoride fraud.

I am quite sure that when you Board Members vote to end drinking water fluoridation in Oklahoma you will severely upset the ADA and other fluoride mongers but you will become a hero to the public…plus, any ethics charges that have been filed against you may be dropped.

$$$$$$

I realize that each of you Board Members is entitled to your own opinions…but not to your own facts. If you choose to ignore the valid science and just continue supporting this drinking water fluoride fraud then you will be facing a knowledgeable public and these ethic complaints will probably proceed to prosecution.

I feel I have to take a firm position with all you Board members as I do admit that I have a preconceived notion about how all of you will react. I expect your first reaction will be to just want to throw all this in the trash and then band together with the other fluoride mongering dentists and use the ADA’s forked-tongued double speak, word spin and all those other things in their bag of tricks to strongly defend yourselves. Then you will gang up to denigrate anyone who disagrees with your profluoride dogma and mantras that claim that adding the toxic industrial waste fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water supplies is “safe, beneficial and of value.

I hope you will realize that it is possible to bring numerous true fluoride experts to any proceedings and make the ADA and all of you Dental Board members look like **extremely** educationally deficient individuals and you could wind up with egg on your face…Or, you could be the first dental board ever to tell the truth about the hazards of consuming fluoridated drinking water and honor your own ethics rules instead of just giving lip service to those ethics.

If by some slight chance that I am incorrect with my preconceived thoughts about how the Board will respond here and instead the Board does call for an immediate halt to drinking water fluoridation then I will of course apologize

I am a firm believer that the Ok Dental Board, all dentists and the ADA should practice the ethics they preach instead of just being hypocritical and just giving lip service to those already promised ethics.

$$$$$$

Who Paid for your Education…?
Don’t do some knee-jerk reaction and say “I did!” You only paid for a small portion of your education expenses. No matter how much tuition you paid, there is a considerable expense incurred to get you to the point that you can graduate from college and dental school so you can earn a living by treating the symptoms of a preventable dental disease process. Those universities and dental schools you attended were most likely all state supported institutions. Where do those state supported schools get their funding from…?
That’s right, the taxpayer. **Another name for the “taxpayer” is the “public.”** That “public” is the same public you have already made ethical promises to protect.

I know that if the “public” had not supported my education via the taxes they paid that went to the schools I attended I never could have afforded to go to college or to dental school. I have always held it that I owe all of the taxpayers, the “public,” something for their hard-earned money going to my education expense, no different than if a parent or relative had paid for my education.

How do you think a parent or relative would like it if they found that all that money they gave to you for your education was being repaid by subjecting them to a known toxic fluoride chemical which causes detectible signs of toxicity even at levels below the amount used for the fluoridation of the public drinking water?

How do you think the taxpayer, public, will like it when all they get in return for funding your education expense with their hard-earned money is to see you thumb your nose at them and turn your back on your professional ethics?

$\$\$\$\$

I realize that I may not have thought of all the backdoors and loopholes that might exist to weasel out of your ethics responsibility so what I would ask of you Board Members is that if you or the UPSHS, ADA or Corporate Chemical America dream up any other backdoors or loopholes to avoid honoring your already made professional ethics to protect the “public health, safety and general welfare” please let me know so I can address them.

$\$\$\$\$

Since there has never been any valid science that supports any decision to add these toxic fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water supply then it can only be concluded that there is a Human Being problem that has caused these toxic fluoride chemicals to be added to the public drinking water. Greed, arrogance, self-righteousness, glamour, fears…

I suggest that what you Board Members do is just publicly acknowledge that dentists have been intentionally miseducated by the UPSHS and the ADA regarding the safety, value and benefits of fluoridated drinking water and as a result the Oklahoma Board of
Dentistry now orders a ban on the addition of any fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water supplies.

**So…Dental Board Members, Who are you going to serve here?**

Professional ethics are **ABSOLUTELY NOT** about the dentist or the USPHS, ADA or Corporate Chemical America being served. Professional ethics **ONLY** have to do with the “public” being served. You know, that burden called the Golden Rule. Who are you going to serve here? Are you just going to do what is best for yourself and just serve yourself? Or, are you going to just serve the ADA and the USPHS? Or, are you going to serve the “public?”

**Don’t forget that it was the members of the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry who made these ethics rules and it’s now the Board Members opportunity to practice what they preach…**

It is the dental profession who has given credibility to this fluoride fraud and it is the dentists that needs to clean up this drinking water fluoridation fraud and that cleaning up is what will give the dentist credibility. I realize that part of human survival is to be right, but you can’t be right when you are so wrong!

If you elect to **not** practice what you preach then don’t forget about that nonrefundable one way ticket to hell that you’re God could have waiting for you!

Are you Board Members going call for the immediate end to the addition of fluoride chemicals to the public drinking water sources…or are you just going to open another can of whitewash and just continue to say…”Professional ethics…who gives a $#*!...?”

Res Ipsa Loquitur…

Sincerely,

Jim Maxey DDS